

COVID Grand Jury Day 1 Transcription, February 5, 2022

NOTE: We have tried to verify the names that we could for correct spelling but were unable to find/confirm some of them ... so they are sometimes a rough guess. The same is true for some of the words due to not being able to hear them well. Also we opted to leave out the "umms, etc." for clarity, and since this transcript may be used later to create subtitles for the video. Thank you for your understanding

00:00 – 00:39, Attorney at Law Viviane Fischer, Germany - The Introduction

Hello, and welcome to the first day of this grand jury proceeding. We are here in a room that has been especially built for this purpose to hear witnesses and experts with regards to what's happened in the corona crisis and we are connected with an international group of lawyers and to begin I turn, I give the floor to attorney of law, Ana Garner, from the United States of America.

01:34 – 06:38, Attorney at Law Ana Garner, USA

I'm unmuted, let me find my document please. Alright, here we are. Ok, sorry. Ok. Can you hear me now?

Yes.

Alright. Thank you Viviane.

It is my honor and pleasure to participate in this international grand jury investigation, an enactment of a criminal grand jury against some of the perpetrators who in a worldwide criminal collaboration committed crimes against humanity on the entire world's population in the form of a planned pandemic called COVID-19. We, a group of international lawyers and a judge are conducting criminal investigation modeled after the United State's grand jury proceedings.

This grand jury investigation serves as a model legal proceeding to present to a jury consisting of the citizens of the world all available evidence of COVID-19 crimes against humanity to date against leaders, organizers, instigators and accomplices who aided, abetted or actively participated in a formulation and an execution of a common plan for a pandemic. Crimes to be investigated include all acts preformed or committed by a person in pursuance of a common design to commit crimes against humanity, and all such criminal acts condemned in the various communities of jurors around the world. This investigation is of the people by the people and for the people, and shall be referred to as the People's Court of Public Opinion.

Having been unable to find a court to hear the actual evidence in the current system's courts of law, we are undertaking this proceeding outside of the current system and based on natural law. This in turn is founded on the firm belief that every person can easily distinguish between good and evil and between right and wrong. This proceeding may be one of the most important events outside of the courts that will be done this year. We as a group of international attorneys will present real evidence from real experts such as scientists, historians, doctors as well as victims. There are no actors in this presentation, only real people who desire to bring justice to the world, and we need your help.

The reason for the enactment of a grand jury process is because our world is at a critical stage in humanity which will depend on the awakening of all people to reverse a course which could forever alter the way we live as free humans. We must reverse the trajectory of this course now, and portraying a grand jury investigation is the fastest way we know to bring the evidence to the world's public court, you the people.

In the United States, only District Attorneys or Attorneys Generals of the various states are allowed to bring such criminal proceedings, but since no one has done so in the United States, we come forward to present the evidence to you in a form modeled after this type of judicial proceeding which is common in the United States. We base this model on the American criminal grand jury. Typically, the grand jury determines whether the evidence is sufficient to return a criminal indictment against one or more accused. It's conducted behind closed doors and neither the accused nor his attorney is present in this type of proceeding. However, we are doing this process in front of full view of the Court of Public Opinion, you the people.

The purpose of this investigation is to reveal the evidence which could be used in this type of proceeding in your jurisdiction. We invite you to join us in open transparency using real evidence, real attorneys, a real judge and real expert witnesses that could be presented in an actual criminal grand jury proceeding in the United States and courts. The success story of this country was written by people who trusted in a constitutional governance for the people. Our forefathers shouldered epic challenges in our history on the foundation of our constitution. It was this constitution and its recognition of natural rights and freedoms that released free-spirited human beings from the yoke of the British crown. Therefore, and recognizing the urgency, we will be asking you, the Court of Public Opinion to review the presented evidence in order to reclaim our rights and freedoms granted to every person in this country and the world at the end of this proceeding.

06:39 – 06:51, Viviane Fischer

Thank you Ana Garner. I would like to turn to Judge Rui Fonseca E Castro who presides (over) these proceedings. Mr. Fonseca.

06:52 – 09:15, Judge Rui Fonseca E Castro, Portugal

Good afternoon. The legitimacy of the Court of Public Opinion based on Natural Law.

During the last two years we have witnessed the dire collapse of the democratic rule of law. In the name of an ideal of a common good, which has never been endorsed, the most fundamental rights and freedoms have been taken from us and we can already feel the approach of a gigantic wave of victims. Justice systems, once strongholds of fundamental rights and freedoms, no longer fulfill their duties. That is, there are no longer judges in Berlin. Shall we wait? We mustn't until we are completely annihilated; or rather, we assume our responsibilities as members of our communities.

It is our rights and above all our duty to the people and to the future generations to resist oppression and tyranny. The natural law emanates to the human being, regardless of its positivity gives us sacred rights of resistance to oppression. If constitutions are the source of positive ideals, freedom, of fundamental rights and freedoms, natural law is its original source. Natural law confers legitimacy to the people to defend fundamental rights and freedoms before the State that becomes tyrannical. It is clear now the failure of national and international justice systems to protect people from the tyranny that has taken hold. Therefore, it's in the natural law, an unwritten law, inherent to the human condition that this Court of the Public Opinion finds its legitimacy to initiate the legal proceedings that will begin today.

The court is open. Thank you.

09:16 – 09:28, Viviane Fischer

Thank you for this introduction Judge Fonseca E Castro. I would like to give the floor now to attorney at law, Deana Sacks from the United States of America.

09:39 – 19:15, Attorney at Law Deana Sacks, USA

Hello my name is Deana Pollard Sacks and for the past 22 years I've been a law professor, constitutional scholar and civil rights activist and litigator.

I'm here today to discuss the derivation of our liberty clause, which goes back to natural law, and to explain why the COVID-19 vaccines are all unconstitutional based upon our history's jurisprudence.

On the 4th of July 1776 our founding fathers signed the Declaration of Independence. And, here's what they said: "We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men are created equal, that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable Rights, that among these are Life, Liberty and the pursuit of Happiness."

In 1891 our Supreme Court in a case called (Boxford) explained that medical liberty is inalienable and one of the most cherished rights we can ever have they said: "No right is held more sacred or is more carefully guarded by the common law than the right of every individual to the possession and control of his own person, free from all restraint or interference of others, unless by clear and unquestionable authority law. The right to one's person may be said to be a right of complete immunity to be let alone."

In 1914 Justice Cardoso, who later became a United States Supreme Court Justice, put it this way: "Every human being of adult years and sound mind has a right to determine what shall be done with his own body and a surgeon who performs an operation without his patient's consent commits an assault for which he is liable in damages."

These same exact concepts and verbatim statements by our court have been reiterated over the decades. In 1990 for example, in a case called Cruzan v. Director, Missouri Department of Health the Supreme Court basically held that each individual has a right to reject medical treatment. That goes back to the English Common Law. And here's what Justice Brennan said in the Cruzan case: "Anglo-American Law starts with the premise of thoroughgoing self-determination it follows that each man is considered to be the master of his own body and he may, if he be of sound mind, expressly prohibit the performance of life-saving surgery or other medical treatment."

How is it then that our governments are pushing a medical treatment on us without consent? Not real consent. They are coercing our people to take a vaccine that's experimental in nature upon threat of their livelihoods, their homes and their education.

Well, our government's relying on a case called Jacobson v. Massachusetts. So, I'm going to explain the case and explain why it actually supports our side that we get to choose medicine and medical treatments for our bodies. The case does not support the vaccine manufacturers and does not support vaccine mandates.

In 1902 during a smallpox pandemic, that killed hundreds of millions of people internationally, the state of Massachusetts passed a law and the law said that each person must be vaccinated for smallpox or pay a five dollar fine. That's exactly what the law said. It was an either-or law giving people the option to pay a five-dollar fine if they don't want to get vaccinated.

Well, Mr Jacobson believed that his liberty interest protected him both from the vaccination and from paying the five-dollar fine. So, he went all the way to the US Supreme Court after paying the five-dollar fine and he wanted his money back.

And the Supreme Court looked at the medical evidence. The smallpox vaccine had been in use for a hundred years it was being used all over the world with a great deal of efficacy and it was quelling one of the worst pandemics in the history of the world. After reviewing the medical evidence carefully, after making findings concerning the efficacy and safety of the vaccine and the need for the smallpox vaccine, the court decided that Mr Jacobson did not get his five dollars back.

Now, keep in mind also Mr Jacobson believed he had a liberty interest to run around town and be seen out all over town without being vaccinated.

So, he sort of flaunted the fact that he wasn't vaccinated because he believed he had the right to be out and about without the medical treatment he did not want. And so, the court's opinion was based on all of these facts.

And these facts do not support COVID mandates today. In fact, one of the last things the court said in *Jacobson v. Massachusetts*, and I quote, "we now decide only that the statute covers the present case and that nothing clearly appears that would justify this court in holding it to be unconstitutional and inoperative in its application to the plaintiff, Mr Jacobson."

There are several reasons why *Jacobson* does not support the vaccine mandates of today concerning the coronavirus.

First, there's an enormous difference concerning the public risks involved. The smallpox pandemic was killing up to 60 percent of people in villages when the smallpox came through. Anywhere from 20 to 60 percent of people were dying with an overall death rate of about 30 percent. In some, at some periods, over 90 percent of babies who were exposed to smallpox were dying. Now compare that to COVID-19 that kills a tiny fraction of one percent of people, the public risk is not even close.

The second thing is, the COVID-19 vaccines are not really vaccines at all. Unlike the vaccines in history which stopped infection and stopped transmission these vaccines do neither. These are experimental vaccines. They haven't been around for 100 years. They haven't been tried and tested. And our people are being subjected to an experimental vaccine when none of us really know what the long-term effects are going to be.

And finally, the law in *Jacobson v. Massachusetts* gave an option for the people to pay five dollars. Today that would be just under \$150. Compare that to people losing their livelihoods, all that they've worked for, their homes and their college education because they won't submit to an experimental vaccine. There is simply no comparison. *Jacobson v. Massachusetts* does not support the vaccine manufacturers or the vaccine mandates.

So, some of you may be wondering then why haven't the COVID vaccine mandates been declared unconstitutional fully. Well, some of them have been. But, there's one that withstood the Supreme Court scrutiny on January 13, 2022. So, I want to explain that.

First of all, the health care worker vaccine mandate arose from the taxing and spending clause of the US Constitution found in Article 1 section 8. Congress has historically been given great latitude to attach strings to Federal monies. So, you take the sour with the sweet. And if you want Federal monies you have to submit to the conditions by Congress.

Throughout history Congress has been allowed to put conditions on the receipt of Medicare and Medicare funding, and specifically has authorized conditions to limit the transmission of communicable diseases. Still, the opinion was five to four. With only five justices agreeing that the vaccine mandate for health care workers passed the original test to see whether or not the court would stop the enforcement of the vaccine mandate.

But the real question is, as Justice Thomas indicated, why wasn't the efficacy and safety of the vaccine considered? It was not considered. And Justice Thomas made that very clear in his dissent, joined by three other justices.

The reason that the vaccine's efficacy and safety was not considered is because the issue is not before the court. Of the 22 states who challenged the health care vaccine mandate, no state claimed that the mandate violated the liberty clause. The liberty clause is where we find our medical freedoms. Throughout history the liberty clause is the clause used to protect us against unwanted medical procedures and even to allow us to demand medical procedures that we want.

So, you will see behind me a picture of the United States Supreme Court house.

I have faith that when our justices are presented with the medical facts concerning the efficacy of the vaccine, the need for the vaccine and the way our governments are bullying and coercing people to take the vaccine against their desire, the court will uphold our liberty rights and they will declare all the vaccines unconstitutional.

Later today, in the and in the days that follow, you'll be hearing from a number of medical professionals describing why this vaccine is nothing like the vaccines of the past

So, I encourage you to stay tuned in and thank you for watching.

19:17 – 19:30, Viviane Fischer

Thank you Mrs. Pollard Sacks for this opening statement of yours. I would now like to give the floor to Dr. Reiner Fuellmich, attorney at law here in Germany for an overview.

19:31 – 37:33, Attorney at Law Dr. Reiner Fuellmich, Germany

Thank you. Good afternoon. My name is Reiner Fuellmich and it is my pleasure to serve as one member of a group of distinguished international attorneys and lawyers who have been collaborating on this very important case for many months now. This case involving the most heinous crimes against humanity committed under the guise of a corona pandemic on a global scale looks complicated only at first glance. But when you put together all those pieces, all those little pieces of the puzzle, as we will do this for you with the help of many renowned experts and other witnesses during this proceeding, you will see four sets of facts.

1. There is no corona pandemic, but only a PCR test *plandemic*, fueled by an elaborate psychological operation designed to create a constant state of panic among the world's population. This agenda has been long planned, its ultimately unsuccessful precursor was the swine flu some 12 years ago, and it was cooked up by a group of super-rich psychopathic and sociopathic people who hate and fear people at the same time, have no empathy and are driven by the desire to gain full control over all of us, the people of the world. They are using our governments and the mainstream media, both of which they literally own to convey their panic propaganda 24/7.

2. The virus itself can be treated safely and effectively with Vitamin C, D, zinc etc. and also with off-label use of Ivermectin, Hydroxychloroquine etc., but all these, not alternative methods of treatment but real methods of treatment, were banned by those who are using the guise of this *plandemic* to push their ultimate goal which is to get everyone to receive the (as we will show in this proceeding), not only ineffective but highly dangerous, yes, lethal experimental injections.
3. The same people who made the swine flu, which ultimately turned out to be a mild flu, into a pandemic 12 years ago by first changing the definition of what a pandemic is, and then creating panic, created this corona pandemic. The swine flu was their first real attempt of creating a pandemic, and just as one of its purposes then was to divert our attention from the blatantly fraudulent activities of their financial industry, or aptly to be called a financial mafia, which have become visible through the Lehman crisis. This is also one of their major purposes of this corona *plandemic* now. Had we taken a closer look then, during the Lehman crisis, instead of blindly believing our governments, governments promises that the perpetrators of those financial crimes will be held liable, we would have seen then that they had been looting and plundering our public coffers for decades, and we would have seen that our governments are not our governments anymore, rather, they have been taken over by the other side by their main platform, the World Economic Forum, which had started to create their own global leaders through their young global leaders program as early as 1992. Two of the first graduates being Angela Merkel and Bill Gates. And we would have understood already then what we will show you now through this proceeding. These findings and financial crimes went unchallenged by our politicians because they are aiding and abetting those who commit them and profiting from these crimes.
4. Ultimately, however, we will show to you, the jury, that the other side's main purpose is to gain full and complete control over all of us. This involves the finalization of their looting and plundering by deliberately destroying our small and medium sized businesses, retail businesses, hotels and restaurants, so that platforms such as Amazon can take over. And, this involves population control, which in their view requires both a mass reduction of the population and manipulating the DNA of the remaining population with the help, for example, of mRNA experimental injections. But it also requires in their view, the deliberate destruction of democracy, of the rule of law, and of our constitutions through chaos, so that ultimately we will agree to losing our national and cultural identities and instead will accept a one world government under the UN which is now under the full control of them and the World Economic Forum; our digital passports, of which each and every move is monitored and controlled and one digital currency which we will only be able to receive from one world bank, theirs of course.

At the conclusion of the case and after you have heard all the evidence, we are confident that you will recommend indictments against all six punitive figurehead defendants, Christian Drosten of Germany, Anthony Fauci of the United States, Tedros of the World Health Organization, Bill Gates, BlackRock and Pfizer.

Ladies and gentlemen, this case is about a long-planned agenda of a group of ultra-rich people and their financial mafia based in the city of London and on Wall Street to use a pseudo pandemic as a guise behind which, while our attention is on the pandemic, they want to complete their decades' long efforts to gain complete and full control over all of us. There are numerous platforms on which this group has been meeting and discussing this agenda, but the most important one is that of the World Economic Forum, which was invented in 1971 by a then 33 year old Klaus Schwab. Its members are a thousand global corporations with at least 5 billion US dollars in annual sales, politicians, media representatives, scientists and other so called, high profile personality. They meet once a year in Davos, but there are other such meetings in other places such as

China. Since 1992 they have created and presented to us their own group of political leaders for the world. Among the first graduates as I mentioned before are Angela Merkel and Bill Gates in 1992. Others are Sebastian Kurz, up until recently Chancellor of Austria, Justin Trudeau Prime Minister of Canada, Jacinda Ardern Prime Minister of New Zealand, Francois (Emmanuel) Macron President of France and many, many more. This group which is now called the Davos clique has openly (the publication *The Great Reset* by Klaus Schwab is one of the most important sources for this information), promoted the shifting of the world's assets to this group of super-rich people so that in 2030 ordinary people will own nothing and be happy as it explicitly states there, under one world government with a digital currency given to us by their one world bank. And they're also openly promoting, in close cooperation with people like punitive defendant Bill Gates, the Rockefellers and others, the drastic reduction of the world's population and the manipulation of the remaining population's DNA, all the way into transhumanism.

Their most important goal is however the controlled, by them of course, implosion of the completely looted financial system, and simultaneous introduction of a digital currency issued by one world bank, controlled by them. And just as important the introduction of a world government under the UN which has come under their full control in 2019. For this purpose, they have made concrete plans for this corona pandemic since at least the spring of 2001, *Operation Dark Winter*, followed by another such rehearsal, the lockstep exercise by the Rockefeller Foundation in 2010, and finally *Event 201* in October of 2019 in New York, sponsored by the John Hopkins Center for Health Security, the Rockefeller Foundation, the World Economic Forum and the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation.

Members of the jury, we will call a number of different, highly renowned expert witnesses from all walks of science but also witnesses who will testify to the damage that they suffered as a result of getting the experimental injections in this trial. After our opening statements, we will start off in a week from now by calling former members, a member of the US military, James Bush, who participated in *Operation Dark Winter* in 2001, former members of the British Intelligence services Brian Gerrish and Alex Thompson, and investigative journalists Whitney Webb and Matthew Erit, former World Health Organization employees and advisors Dr. Sylvia Behman and Dr. Astrid Stukelberger. They will explain to us the historical and geopolitical background of what we are confronted with, and they will show to us how this agenda has been planned for at least 20 years starting with *Operation Dark Winter* in 2001 and some 10 years later the lockstep scenario by the Rockefeller Foundation ending with the dress rehearsal *Event 201* in October of 2019. And, they will explain how, when there were no cases, which they needed to declare a public health emergency of international concern, they created them by having defendant Drosten invent the story of asymptomatic infections, which don't exist, and how this PCR test can detect through mass screening of perfectly healthy people, those who are infected – a lie, as we will show.

We will continue with the next group of witnesses, Dr. Wolfgang Wodarg an experienced lung specialist and former member of the German Bundishagen?? the Council of Europe who managed to expose the other side's first attempt at a pandemic, the swine flu of 12 years ago as a mild flu. Prof. Orica Kimera a biologist from Wurzburg University, Dr. Mike Yeadon former Vice President of Pfizer, Dr. Sylvia Behman??, Dr. Astrid Stukelberger, Prof. Dolores Cahill of Dublin University, Prof. Antonietta Gatti from Italy, Prof. Berkault from Germany.

These experts will explain to us what is behind the legend of the Wuhan wet market outbreak. They will show that the virus is no more dangerous than the common flu. The PCR test cannot tell us anything about

infections but is the only basis for all anti-corona measures including the ultimate measure, the so-called vaccines, and the so-called vaccines are not only ineffective but extremely dangerous. Regardless of the natural or man-made origin of the virus, our immune system is perfectly well capable of dealing with the virus as evidenced by an infection fatality rate of between 0.14% or 0.15% or even less percent. There was no excess mortality anywhere until the experimental injections started. There were not even any cases in early 2020, however they needed cases in order to declare a public health emergency of international concern, as this was the only basis of which this was possible according to their own made up rules which all governance of member States of the World Health Organization had agreed to, to use untested new drugs, experimental injections on people. After a first failed attempt of announcing this public health emergency of international concern, because there were no cases, they tried again in late January of 2020 after they had created cases with the help of that now infamous Drosten PCR test, and announced this public health emergency of international concern two weeks later. We will hear from these experts that these cases were almost all false positive test results, nothing else.

The next group of experts of Dr. Thomas Binder from Switzerland, Dr. Brian Ardis from Texas, Dr. Shankara Chetty from South Africa, and Dr. Wolfgang Wodarg from Germany, and John O-Looney, undertaker from England. These experts will tell us how right from the start we were witnessing a deliberate, completely senseless banning of normal effective and safe methods of treatment for respiratory diseases and instead a mandate of treatments that must now be considered serious medical malpractice, intubation, Remdesivir, Midazolam. John O-Looney will explain how he first, believing the other side's allegations about a pandemic even helped the BBC in pushing their panic propaganda, until he realized how under the guise of the pandemic people were intentionally being killed.

We will then call the next group of experts including Prof. Alessandra Aliancourt from France, Dr. Mike Yeadon, Prof. Sucharit Bhakdi from Germany, Prof. Luc Montagnier from France, Dr. Vanessa Schmidt-Kruger from Germany, Dr. Robert Malone inventor of the mRNA vaccine technic from the US and Prof. Arne Burkhardt pathologist from Germany. They will show us that while the virus did not cause any excess mortality, it has a survival rate of 99.97%, the shots are now killing people and have been causing excess mortality of up to 40% since September as a result of a poisoning with a spike protein and of shutting off our immune system. Dr. Mike Yeadon will tell us how a group of scientists has even found concrete evidence that the makers of the vaccines are experimenting with lethal dosages to see how the lethal side effects can be manipulated in such a way that the population will not immediately understand what is happening.

The next group of experts will explain to us how it could have come to this. This group includes Prof. Mattias Desmet from Belgium, Dr. Arrione Billion from France, Dr. Meredith Miller from the US, Prof. Halla Vaija from Germany and Stephan Kohn employee of the German Department of the Interior. These experts will walk us through how the other side, after having established the public health emergency of international concern, in quick succession introduced us to the lockdown, the nonsensical and dangerous mask mandates, and the very harmful both physically and psychologically social distancing until they arrived at their ultimate goal, the ineffective and dangerous, even lethal injections. And they will explain to us in detail how our acquiescence to all this was made possible through a gigantic psychological operation whose panic message we kept receiving through the mainstream medias and our politicians, both owned by the other side's relentless propaganda.

The next group of experts includes Leslie Manookian a former investment banker from the US, Naomi Wolf journalist and author from the US, Hanne Spoif a German economist, Prof. Cristian Kreis German economist,

Prof. Holgar Recco a German economist and Marcus Krau a German economist. This part of the proceeding will have these experts explain to us in great detail about the intentional destruction of our economies and how the other side is working on a controlled crash of the financial system to both get away with the crimes that they have committed over the past decades, looting and plundering our public coffers until there's nothing left and to introduce a one world bank and digital currency plus digital passport.

The final group of experts include Matthew Erit an investigative journalist from Canada, Vera Sharav a holocaust survivor, Injana Rachael Daniel from Israel, Rabi Smith from New York, Patrick Wood, an expert on technocracy from the US, Avita Livni from Israel. This final part of the investigation will have these experts tell us how an important part of the other side's agenda has to do with population control, or rather eugenics. After World War II eugenics had a bad name, Julian Huxley who founded UNESCO said, but he and a very large group of people who supported the idea of eugenics would soon be able to continue with their efforts in this direction, he openly explained. The parallels between what happened then, 80 years ago, and what is happening now shall not be ignored, Vera Sharav will remind us of this.

After you have heard all the evidence, we have no doubt that you will recommend indictments against all punitive defendants for crimes against humanity. Thank you.

37:36 – 37:56, Viviane Fischer

Thank you for this overview and also for giving us an outline of the experts, the lineup of experts that we are gonna hear. I would like to turn now back to Attorney at Law, Ana Garner from the U.S. to give us some more information about the PCR tests.

(I think you forgot Dexter) Dexter is, he's a little late. He is coming in a little later. Okay, Ms. Garner.

38:07 – 46:13, Ana Garner

Yes, thank you. Thank you. To understand the enormity of the planning they went into this criminal collaboration, on a global scale, we must start with the basis of the pandemic. This was a big lie. It was a lie for the governments of the world to declare, almost in unison, a global pandemic. A word like so many others involved in this official narrative that had its definition changed to meet the goals of the agenda.

Specifically, what I wanted to talk about is the big lie that was based on the PCR test, the testing. This was not a pandemic but a "casedemic".

The information we've been given by the CDC and the FDA on the PCR test is demonstrably false and we will have evidence proving this. The method is not in fact a test at all, not a diagnostic test, but a genetic manufacturing technology that looks for specific snippets of code and amplifies them by doubling on every cycle.

Its inventor, Kary Mullis, who won a Nobel Prize for the process that he invented, declared that this was never intended to be a valid diagnostic tool. And it's known by all who use it that it cannot detect active infection. Drosten, one of the accused, knew this. He knew that the test was meaningless and that the test was false. However, this test has been used to create worldwide panic and compliance.

The CDC has even admitted that their own recently withdrawn test was based on contrived samples. The WHO (World Health Organization) recommended grossly excessive cycle thresholds which is the number of

amplifications that a small amount of genetic material underwent to determine if there was anything present, are far beyond industry standards and they render any test meaningless with false positive results at rates approaching 100 percent.

It's been studied that the false positive rates of this PCR test are actually closer to 97 percent. This means that three percent of the population who test positive may be sick with something but we don't know what they're sick with because the test doesn't determine that. This whole test has been globally misused to fraudulently justify the existence of a pandemic and to determine falsely inflated case numbers as well as death numbers.

We will demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the defendants participated in this global lie and called a widely geographically dispersed disease with survival rates equivalent to a seasonal influenza "a pandemic," that would allow false global declarations of public health emergency and from this emergency, that is now almost two years in the making, governments mandated draconian restrictions and separation and isolation of vulnerable populations like elderly and the children as well as instituting vaccine mandates throughout the world.

What we know will happen next is vaccine passports, which will lock down our planet even further, as well as quarantine camps everywhere. Our trust has been betrayed by the corruption in the system, and we will provide you with verifiable sets of facts that we have all been grievously misled to believe a big lie and in the end goals being, in the words of one of the accused Bill Gates, to vaccinate every man, woman and child on the planet with experimental gene altering shots.

These PCR tests have been used to drive the case numbers and deaths. Let's look at the definition of a case. Historically, a case was someone who was sick not just a positive test in the absence of any symptoms.

With worldwide testing of healthy people, they have grossly inflated the number of cases, and this is broadcast in our faces all the time by mainstream media, by department of highway signs in the United States and maybe in other countries, and has been used to incite panic and compliance amongst the whole world.

The significant drawbacks are why PCR testing should only be done on symptomatic patients and why a positive test should be weighed as only one factor of any diagnosis. You cannot diagnose anyone in the absence of clinical symptoms and in the absence of medical diagnosis. So, what we have is testing healthy people in large numbers, which predictably result in falsely inflated numbers and the panic. The CDC and the FDA have recognized this potential, as did the perpetrators of these crimes that we will be presenting.

The evidence will show that Drosten, who claims to have invented the test for COVID-19, was aware of its limitations and its falsity. The test was not specific for any virus or bacteria. Therefore, it's meaningless in determining what might even be causing any symptoms. What the test is good for is causing worldwide panic.

Even deaths, another falsely inflated number, needs to be investigated in light of these false PCR tests. A death with a positive PCR test is considered a death from COVID whether you would be admitted to the hospital due to a car crash, a heart attack or even delivering a child. If you tested positive and then died within 28 days or so from your test, your death was attributed to COVID-19. Even our CDC admitted later into the pandemic that 95 percent of the deaths attributed to COVID were from other causes, it was simply based on a false test. The manufacturers knew all this as well as the in quote "inventor" of the COVID-19 test, Drosten.

We have now, part of a fear message that has caused institutionalized discrimination against people who are not choosing to be vaccinated, not choosing to be tested. This is morally reprehensible by any humane standard.

As a result of these false tests, we have huge catastrophic harm which has been done to the populace of the world. There are scientists and doctors who will testify about the enormous catastrophic harm that has been done from this.

The legal evidence of intent and knowledge is in the various FDA documents and related materials. But they are designed to obfuscate and confuse, even lawyers who read and pour through these documents.

As you may begin to see here the pillars of the official and media COVID narrative, which are one in the same, fall like dominoes when the evidence is shown. There is irrefutable evidence of fraud and multifarious malfeasance throughout the COVID regime if you have the courage and intellectual honesty to look and study it, and consider it fairly. Please do. It may be shocking to some, unbelievable to others, but I assure you this evidence is real and disturbing.

One of the things that is a byproduct of it, is that the system has to pretend that the only answer to these inflated numbers of cases and deaths are vaccines, and they have done this in order to get them emergency use authorized and on childhood schedules and this is what is coming next.

Thank you for listening.

46:14 – 46:28, Viviane Fischer

Thank you very much. I would like now to turn to Dipali Ojha from India. Can you hear us?

46:31 – 01:00:35, Attorney at Law Dipali Ojha, India

Yes, thank so much. Good afternoon everyone. I am Dipali Ojha from India. It is an honor for me to present my opening statement to the Grand Jury of the Court of Public Opinion

I thank Judge Rui Fonseca and all my learned colleagues for their thoughtful and insightful presentations.

In the March of 2020 most of us were caught unawares as the governments worldwide endorsed the onset of a pandemic supposedly created by a novel SARS-CoV-2 virus that possibly originated from Wuhan, China. Upon declaration of pandemic there were a series of announcements which were truly unprecedented, unheard of by most of us like closing of international borders, complete hold off of international flight services, compulsory use of face masks, observance of social distancing, and the severe measures like lockdowns. In India the daily services came to a grinding halt for the first time in the history of 167 years.

Each country swiftly endorsed similar measures, supposedly to prevent and control the spread of infection. In that prevailing state of chaos, panic, shock, uncertainty and fear, most of us relied on main stream media as a source of authentic information around this virus and the resultant havoc it reeked upon us humans. But not the prominent aspect of communication constantly received from global governments and health authorities was that, there is no known cure for the SARS-CoV-2 virus.

In several countries, patients who were sick were refused admission in hospitals, and were sent back home, stating that there is no cure for this decease.

During this phase, there were honest physicians, and frontline doctors who treated patients with repurposed drugs, which is certainly not a new phenomenon, with the allopathic scheme of medicine. And some physicians devised their own protocols for treatments based on their vast and rich clinical experience. While the physicians performed their solemn duties of saving their patients' lives in the best possible manner, using

repurposed drugs with proven safety profiles in combinations with supplements like Zinc, vitamin C, vitamin D, etc. We witnessed a vehement opposition from the very same Health Authorities that were at the helm of designing the response to the pandemic. The unrest calls to these people at the helm was very conspicuous through their acts of dismissal of any information that revealed how the early treatments were effective and that there existed alternative therapies to treat COVID19 patients.

Now any person of ordinary intelligence would have found this to be unreasonable in the sense that, saving lives were obviously with the first and foremost objective, and especially when the physicians based on their clinical expertise claimed that they were able to cure patients through early treatment drugs.

I will digress here for a moment to share with you something from the perspective of my country, India. In India we have rich tradition of alternative systems of medicine like Ayurveda, Siddha, Unani, and other systems of healing like Naturopathy, and Homeopathy. In fact, there is a dedicated ministry under government of India by name "Ayush" which is an acronym for Ayurveda, Yoga and naturopathy, Unani, Siddha, and Homeopathy. The recognized doctors who are trained to practice these alternative systems of medicine have successfully treated COVID19 patients.

However, after the rollout of these experimental vaccines, a we should not be calling these as vaccines, in fact these are injections, which would be an appropriate description. Now after rollout of these experimental injections, the blocking of any discussion around alternative treatments seemed to have become more pronounced, that included dismissal of doctors who advocated for early treatments of COVID, or the ones who proclaimed that early treatments were indeed safe and effective. Some doctors have even faced disciplinary actions from their medical boards. Some were publicly ridiculed and faced the threat of cancellation or suspension of their medical licenses. Such a massive big response from the health authorities, government, and medical boards was itself a red flag, that something, somewhere was wrong and amiss. It is worthwhile to note that most of the persons who were dictating the countries' COVID-19 responses worldwide, were not even treating the COVID-19 patients. But they did not pay any heed to the honest and diligent physicians who were actually treating patients on the ground with early treatments.

As my learned colleague has already pointed out that the possible reason for the blocking any information around early treatments, effectiveness of early treatments, was the Emergency Use Authorization which is granted only when there is no drug that is adequate, number one, approved, and available for treatment. The claim that there is no cure for COVID-19 made it possible treatment for the vaccine manufacturers to apply for EUA.

Besides, there is immunity that Pharma companies enjoy while EUA is granted to them. I wish to inform here, at this juncture, that in India there are no specific provisions like the ones laid down by US FDA around the grant of Emergency Use Authorization, and therefore till recently alternative treatments or early treatment drugs were part of India's National COVID Management Protocol. Ivermectin, Hydroxychloroquine, (? and ?) a protocol until late September 2021. In fact, states like Uttar Pradesh and Goa in India, rose to prominence globally for the successful demonstration of use of Ivermectin in controlling the spread of COVID19 and in curing the patients speedily.

However, these success stories have been deliberately undermined and suppressed by all those compromised persons and media platforms which have invested heavily in vaccine businesses or who have vested interest in some form or the other in not letting the success of these early treatment drugs known to all people.

Conspicuous access of any scientific debate around the effectiveness of early treatments combined with the muzzling of voices that try to spread the word around; effectiveness of early treatment drugs, is clear sign that

the governments and health authorities worldwide are pushing vaccines as the only solution and as only cure for COVID-19.

Now, another aspect which is specific to India, is that there is no immunity to vaccine manufacturers in India. And this has been confirmed on affidavit filed by the government of India in our Ethics Court in the Supreme Court of India.

Recently we have assisted in filing a petition at Bombay High Court by a father who lost his daughter to the first dose of these experimental vaccines. He has claimed the compensation of around 1.3 Billion US Dollars for the loss of life of his daughter. And this is the second years that we have assisted in filing. The first case has been filed in later November 2021. It is filed by a mother who lost her young son to the first dose of the experimental vaccine. In this case, the deceased son was compelled to take the experimental vaccine in order to access the public transport system which allowed only vaccinated people to travel, as per the administrative order passed by the government official. In addition to monetary compensation for the loss of her son's life to these experimental injections, this mother has also sought for an investigation, a probe by Premier Investigation Agency of India into the role of all persons involved the chains of events, right from the manufacturers of these vaccines, persons in charge of COVID-19 response here in India, and all those who claimed that the vaccines, these form of vaccines are 100% safe and effective.

We are expecting several cases to be filed across the country in the near future by victims of these medical experimentations. Now calling these products as vaccines would be inappropriate, as vaccines are expected to provide immunity against a disease.

While we were promised that Corona vaccines would protect us from supposedly deadly virus, we are witnessing today the fact that these Corona vaccines are neither preventing contracting of the disease nor stopping the transmission. Besides these vaccines have shown to have serious side effects including deaths.

So there is no way one can justify the experimental medical products that neither stop infection nor transmission. Also, the vaccinated persons are required to follow the COVID appropriate behavior: if there is a lock down, they also have to sit indoors, and they also have to wear face masks, and they also have to follow social distancing.

Now there is another alarming aspect of the manner in which the experimental injections are being administered on the global population that I wish to bring to your attention, and that is about informed consent. As to how the settled and established laws around informed consent have been openly violated.

In the lawsuits that we have filed here in India in addition to the judicial precedence specific to India, by our courts, High Courts and Supreme Courts, we have also cited how the provisions of Universal Declaration on Bioethics and Human Rights 2005, that mandate for giving detailed information to public, for getting their informed consent to such medical experimentation, all of these provisions have been breached. For example, Article 3 of Universal Declaration on Bioethics and Human Rights states that human dignity, human rights and fundamental freedoms are to be fully respected and the interests and welfare of the individual should have priority over the sole interest of science and society.

Also, Article 6 of the same declaration states that any preventive, diagnostic and therapeutic medical intervention is only to be carried out with the prior, free and informed consent of the person concerned based on adequate information. The consent should, where appropriate, be expressed and may be withdrawn by the person concerned at any time and for any reason without disadvantage and prejudice.

There is also another landmark judgement which we have cited with our, the proceedings we have filled which is the judgement passed by UK Supreme Court, in the case of Mango Mary versus Lanaksha Health Board, which is of 2050.

Now as we discuss the crucial points around absence of informed consent and violation of several international codes and agreements in this process of hasty rollout of these experimental vaccines, the other side, Mr. Global, is usually seen harping on a very flimsy ground to justify these medical experimental products, which is: benefits outweigh the risks.

Now, our experts, expert witnesses, shall be presenting the evidences that show the extent of harm these experimental products have inflicted upon the population, the serious adverse effects of these jabs, including deaths, the lack of transparency around the clinical trials data, the under reporting of deaths caused due to these jabs, which all these evidences which our experts will be presenting to the Grand Jury in upcoming hearings, will totally demolish this irrational commonly resorted to push these experimental jabs, that benefits of these experimental injections outweigh the risks.

Now as I continue I wish to draw the attention of the jury to the following. The rational for authorities declaring that there is no cure for COVID-19 is not clear today since there has been no scientific debate or discussion between people in charge of COVID-19 response in countries and both physicians, scientists and doctors who claim to have proven and documented success stories around the effective early treatments.

Secondly there has been no initiative, no effort to conduct clinical trials on effectiveness of early treatment drugs on the part of those responsible for designing country's official COVID response. There has been blanket denial regarding the natural immunity or the immunity developed after natural infection in spite of several studies being published to this effect. There is a deliberate censorship by Big Tech of anyone and everyone who chooses to talk about how there exists alternative treatments and that these are safer than the experimental injections.

Lastly, the fact their report was judged with extensive data on safety profile are ignored and that experimental medical injection, hurriedly rolled out is touted as safe and effective. We know that there is no transparency around the data on clinical trials conducted. Given the number of adverse events reported globally daily, these experimental products are anything but safe.

Our expert witnesses shall cover each of these aspects in detail in upcoming hearings and I'm very confident that after going through the evidences that is placed on record, the Grand Jury shall be fully equipped for assessing the role and complicity of each person, each entity, each organization involved in commission of these horrific crimes against humanity.

Thank you for listening.

01:00:35 – 01:00:44 , Viviane Fischer

Thank you Ojha. Thanks so much. Now I here that Dexter Ryneveldt from South Africa is with us. Attorney Ryneveldt, can you hear us?

01:00:46 – 01:00:48, Attorney at Law Dexter L-J. Ryneveldt (Adv.), South Africa

I can hear you loud and clear.

01:00:49 – 01:00:52, Viviane Fischer

Fantastic, so the floor is yours.

01:00:53 – 01:21:26, Attorney at Law Dexter L-J. Ryneveldt (Adv.), South Africa

Thank you so much. My sincere apology.

I am Dexter Ryneveldt. A lawyer practicing from South Africa. It is with great honor and appreciation that I present to you, members of this jury, a statement of the Court of Public Opinion's opening statement. First and foremost, I want to acknowledge Lamiel Baloyd, Yvonne Katsonda, Deborah Mordiaki and Holda Machilo, as well as all the brave COVID-19 vaccine injured witnesses worldwide, for their kind assistance and participation.

I'm gonna start off with what Thomas Payne, 200 years (ago) said. And he actually stated very eloquently, "The greatest tyrannies are always perpetrated in the name of the noblest causes." This statement is ever more relevant today as it was 200 years ago, and will continue to be relevant for years and centuries to come. The question posed to you, honorable members of the jury. What is the noblest cause? That has been and still are being exploited since the inception of the SarsCov2 COVID-19 pandemic. That is none other than the all encompass cause, healthy body, healthy mind, comes down to human health. We can all agree that a noblest cause is what is in the best interest of human health. And how this impacts our family and friends as well as our ability to generate an income.

The evidence presented will showcase exactly how the defendants orchestrated and manipulated this noblest cause, human health. To advance the agenda of total control and dominance on an unsuspected populace. And how they made serious inroads into the populace constitutional and inalienable rights and freedom.

From the onset of this pandemic, ladies and gentleman, members of the jury, the defendants deployed and invested considerable efforts and money in the public relations, actually in a matter of fact, their public relations campaigns with the assistance of the mainstream corporate media. They capitalized these human health concerns for their financial benefits and aspirations of total dominance and control. To their benefit, they used the catchphrase, "follow the science". This is a catchphrase that all of us are just so familiar with, for whatever they (throw?) they will always substantiate, "let's follow the science". This is what the science says.

To justify and legitimize their public health policies for the sole goal, common good, worldwide in lockstep. In addressing the human health concerns in respect to COVID-19, the following pertinent questions must be posed ladies and gentleman. The first question: What is the true nature of the SARS-CoV-2 virus? Is it really novel and if so, to what extent can we say it is novel? The short answer to that is NO! It is not novel. Here the evidence from the medical and scientific experts will show beyond any shadow of doubt that we are not dealing with a novel virus. In a matter of fact, ladies and gentlemen, members of this jury, the SARS-CoV-2 virus can in many ways be regarded as a seasonal flu, where it's composition is very much comparable to the SARS-CoV-1 virus.

The second question that we need to pose: Are there any suitable and viable medical treatments to combat the COVID-19 illness? Without going into too many detail, my learned friend, Educat Dupali, has clearly indicated that yes indeed, there is alternative treatments and not just that there is alternative treatments but there is successful alternative treatments and this is the evidence that will most definitely be presented to each and every one of you.

The third and final question that we need to pose in relation to this health concern is what is the survival rate of the COVID-19 illness? Evidence once more will show that the survival rate forecast at the onset of the pandemic was nothing more than a computerized statistical forecast used to install fear and I believe that we

are all also very much familiar with the fear that has been installed in each and every one of us, but in reality the survival rate is 99.5%. Some of the experts will also give evidence that when it comes to the survival rate it can even go as high as 99.97. The probable realistic mortality rate is not even close to the computerized statistical forecast projected. In a matter of fact, the seasonal flu is a higher mortality rate than COVID-19. Just this statement alone ladies and gentlemen must bring us now to a state where we need to reflect and say, was it worth it? Was all the lockdowns, hand sanitation, social distancing – was it really worth it?

The clear questions that I just posed to you members of the jury, bring us to the US, the United States National Library of Medicine. This was a workshop that was conducted and I'm going to take a quote out of this workshop and you can search for it on the world wide web, and it is a rapid medical counter measures response to infectious disease enabling sustainable capabilities to ongoing public and private sector partnership, in which Dr. Peter Daszak wrote to Dr. Anthony Fauci, open quote, "That although an infectious disease crisis is very real, present and at an emergency threshold, it is often largely ignored. We need to increase this public understanding of the need for medical countermeasures such as pan-coronavirus vaccine. A key driver is the media and the economics will follow the hype. We need to use that hype to our advantage to get to the real issues. Investors will respond when they see profit at the end of the process." Closed quote.

This quote in itself ladies and gentlemen is incriminating. It is unambiguously, it is unambiguous, it sets out the plan behind the pandemic, and by a click of a button honorable members of the jury, you can search for yourself on the world wide web, who the financial beneficiaries are of this pandemic. Without any doubt it is the investors, it is the shareholders of the vaccine companies, to the dismay of the populace, the average man, the average woman. What the ultimate intent to obliterate small and medium sized businesses. The only businesses who are currently thriving financially are the pharmaceutical companies and the Big Tech conglomerates. We also see the direct impact it had on mom's and pop's shops and medium sized companies as well.

What the statements presented to you will clearly indicate, why citizens participated in the global vaccine medical experiments and the core of the vaccine participant's beliefs system and you will hear it from the vaccine injured victims. At the core of their belief's system as to why they partook in, why they partook in this medical experiment is that they thought it would be in their best health interest and ultimately financial interest. So with this said, we see there is a direct connection, I have to do it because of my health and if I don't do it I'm going to lose out financially, I will not be able to earn an income. And that's why I pose to you, why the financial interest. If they do not participate in the experimental vaccines, they stand to lose their financial income capacity. This is nothing short of coercion and blackmail to say the least. This is what we call ladies and gentlemen, the carrot and stick approach.

The evidence presented will elucidate due ethical medical experiment processes and protocols that must always be adhered to when conducting medical experiments in mass. Medical experiments must adhere to the highest standards of medical ethics as set out in the Declaration of Helsinki, the Nuremberg Code, as well as each respective countries codified legislative prescripts. The evidence will show that without any doubt, the Declaration of Helsinki, the Nuremberg Code define the codes of conduct for medical experiments in each and every country were violated and in a matter of fact it's still being violated with this mandatory vaccine mandate, it's being pushed throughout the world. And this is all being done with the catchphrase, "Follow the science". The evidence will show that when it comes to SARS-CoV-2, when it comes to COVID-19, it can be compared as a common flu.

The honorable members of the jury, the mRNA inventor, Dr. Robert Malone, stated on multiple alternative media platforms, as to why the COVID-19 mRNA experimental vaccines are dangerous and fraught with grave

possible long term sequela and today I'm talking about myocarditis, pericarditis and the evidence will clearly indicate that.

A broad overview will also be given of the medical and scientific laboratory tests that has been conducted in the past on the mRNA medical technology to date as well and why it is not prudent to deploy the mRNA vaccines on a global scale on an unsuspected populace. The evidence will be corroborated by pharmacologists, toxicologists, immunologists, virologists, functionalologist, and biochemists in the area. Yes, this is a prelude of the evidence that will be presented by either professor Bhakti, professor Palmer and/or Dr. Vorder, and I quote, open quote, "Overall, it is apparent that the normal method of introducing genetic material into human cells via adenoviruses or adeno-associated viruses is fraught with dangerous side effects. The causes of which are not yet entirely clear. While such risks might be acceptable in otherwise incurable conditions such as spinal muscular atrophy, it is absolutely irresponsible to impose them on healthy people who have little or no risk to ever experience a severe course of COVID-19." Closed quote.

Members of the jury to fully comprehend the full extent of the plan behind this pandemic we must focus to what extent the defendants enacted their lockstep approach of "follow the science". We do not have to go too far to comprehend their playbook. We can simply look at how they changed, adapted epidemiological, medical and scientific definitions to suit and justify their mantra of "follow the science". With close introspection we must investigate how they changed, adapted medical and scientific definitions and the definitions we're talking about is pandemic, endemic, vaccines, herd immunity and natural immunity. Medical and scientific evidence will show how these definitions were altered, adapted to fit the defendants premeditated intentional criminal offence. Crimes against humanity. Their adaptations of a paramount epidemiological, medical and scientific definitions are criminal, i.e., their "follow the science" narrative is not in sync with well-established epidemiological standards and practices. The evidence will clearly illustrate the discrepancies between their "follow the science" narrative and the actual well-established objective medical and scientific information and data.

Members of the jury, I hereby draw your attention to a quote by Booker T. Washington. Open quote. "A lie does not become truth, wrong does not become right and evil doesn't become good, just because it's accepted by a majority." Even if the majority, for argument's sake (and I just quickly want to go back, by a majority, that's the closed quote), even if the majority, for argument's sake, accepts the distorted medical and scientific definitions, it cannot be seen as correct and/or truthful. A mere change of medical and scientific definitions, at the one, without clear unambiguous medical and scientific substantiation cannot justify any changes at the patients and it cannot thus be seen as truth. It does not matter how many times the defendants and/or any collaborators repeat a lie. In the case with, I'm making reference to the media, it cannot become truth.

Of the questions uppermost in the minds of the populace, honorable members of the jury, is how is it the defendants were able to make so many advancements in the role of all the planned pandemic, and the vaccines. Why did a considerable number of the populace fall for the defendant's pandemic narrative, to the extent where they presented themselves to be vaccinated with an experimental mRNA COVID-19 vaccine? To answer this we have to look at the following concept; **astroturfing**. And I'm going to read the definition of "astroturfing" from the Meriam Webster definition, and it reads as follows: "Organized activity that is intended to create a false impression of a wide spread spontaneously arising grassroots movement in support of or in opposition to something such as a political policy, but that is in reality initiated and controlled by a concealed group or organizations such as corporations. Evidence will be read to show how the defendants and the co-conspirators drummed up grassroots support and how they deployed mainstream corporate media to

push their agenda of premeditated global mass murder by convincing a portion of the populace to willingly accept the experimental COVID-19 gene therapy vaccines. It will be shown that through a psychological state of large scale mass formation, the populace mental equity was intoxicated, and as a direct consequence the populace trusted the mainstream narrative even though it was utterly absurd and obviously wrong.

I leave you with the following quote from Aristotle. It encapsulated the true essence of our current state of affairs globally. But before I go into it, this Court of Public Opinion asks you to duly consider all the evidence that will be presented in its totality and to find it in you to see the truth. For what is, for what it is. This is a Plandemic and not a Pandemic. And when you look at the two words; Plandemic, Pandemic, there is one letter that is different and that is the "L". So what we say, we will be presenting all the evidence, you will learn exactly as to why we are where we are, and as a result of that you will be confident to say, I have learned so I'm going to take that capital L and I'm going to insert it in Pandemic. This is not a Pandemic, this is a Plandemic. The evidence is clear and direct. Consequentially the evidence presented will showcase that there is sufficient prima facie evidence against all the defendants, and that the indictments can be, and in a matter of fact must be issued against the defendants in their personal or professional capacities. As well as against those co-conspirators in each and every country in the world who played an instrumental role in peddling the great illusion of "follow the science". Yes, following the science to a point of literal death.

And this is the quote from Aristotle. Open quote. "Governments which have a regard to the common interest are constituted in accordance with strict principles of justice and are therefore true forms. But those which regard only the interest of the rulers are all defective and perverted forms, for they are despotic. Whereas a state is a community of a free man." I thank you honorable members of the jury.

01:21:27 – 01:22:42, Viviane Fischer

Thank you Mr. Ryneveldt and thanks everyone for the opening statements. We have come to the end of the opening statements and I would like to let the jury know, the viewers can be part of the jury and we have set up an email address; contact@grand-jury.net where you can get in touch with us, like both if you were for instance a lawyer and you would like to support this endeavor to provide the evidence, so that the indictments can begin, so get in touch with us and also if you are a victim, if you have undergone like problems like with your business, if you have had vaccination damages, if you have suffered all kinds of losses or restrictions, you can get in touch with us and we can present your case, maybe even here while the proceedings are ongoing or like in an additional forum, like maybe on a website, so it can be known to the world, what has happened to you.

01:22:44 – 01:24:47, Dr. Reiner Fuellmich – Final words

Maybe some closing words for today. We are very appreciative of the viewer's participation in this proceeding. It's a model proceeding and I will say on behalf of the entire group of lawyers, some of whom you have met, some others you will see during the continuing proceeding when we question witnesses for example.

I want to thank you and I want to implore you to support us, to watch this, to tell the world what is really going on because there are not very many sources whom which you can trust. There is, there are a few sources, this is one of them, and we believe this is one of the most important ones of them because what we're doing here, what we're undertaking is to give you a complete picture, a complete picture of what has

happened and what is happening because only by seeing the complete picture will you come to the conclusion that we cannot trust those who many of us are used to trusting. Our governments are not our governments anymore. Our institutions have been infiltrated by those who are committing these crimes against humanity. More importantly, once you realize that, you will also come to the conclusion that it is only us, the people, who will make a difference. We will put an end to this and we will make sure that there will be cleanup afterwards, including the procedures that will (or) are necessary in order to bring justice, and in order to bring those to justice who have committed these crimes against humanity. Thank you very much.

End of transcript