

## Emissions Gap Report 2019

**Executive Summary** 

© 2019 United Nations Environment Programme

ISBN: 978-92-807-3766-0 Job number: DEW/2263/NA

This publication may be reproduced in whole or in part and in any form for educational or non-profit services without special permission from the copyright holder, provided acknowledgement of the source is made. United Nations Environment Programme would appreciate receiving a copy of any publication that uses this publication as a source.

No use of this publication may be made for resale or any other commercial purpose whatsoever without prior permission in writing from the United Nations Environment Programme. Applications for such permission, with a statement of the purpose and extent of the reproduction, should be addressed to the Director, Communication Division, United Nations Environment Programme, P. O. Box 30552, Nairobi 00100, Kenya.

#### Disclaimers

The designations employed and the presentation of the material in this publication do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of United Nations Environment Programme concerning the legal status of any country, territory or city or its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. For general guidance on matters relating to the use of maps in publications please go to http://www.un.org/Depts/Cartographic/english/htmain.htm

Mention of a commercial company or product in this document does not imply endorsement by the United Nations Environment Programme or the authors. The use of information from this document for publicity or advertising is not permitted. Trademark names and symbols are used in an editorial fashion with no intention on infringement of trademark or copyright laws.

The views expressed in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the United Nations Environment Programme. We regret any errors or omissions that may have been unwittingly made.

© Maps, photos, and illustrations as specified

Suggested citation UNEP (2019). Emissions Gap Report 2019. Executive summary. United Nations Environment Programme, Nairobi.

http://www.unenvironment.org/emissionsgap

Supported by:









UDENRIGSMINISTERIET

Federal Ministry for the Environment, Nature Conservation and Nuclear Safety



Government of the Netherlands



# **Emissions Gap Report 2019**

**Executive summary** 

### Executive summary – Emissions Gap Report 2019

#### Introduction

This is the tenth edition of the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) Emissions Gap Report. It provides the latest assessment of scientific studies on current and estimated future greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions and compares these with the emission levels permissible for the world to progress on a least-cost pathway to achieve the goals of the Paris Agreement. This difference between "where we are likely to be and where we need to be" has become known as the 'emissions gap'.

Reflecting on the ten-year anniversary, a summary report, entitled Lessons from a decade of emissions gap assessments, was published in September for the Secretary-General's Climate Action Summit.

The summary findings are bleak. Countries collectively failed to stop the growth in global GHG emissions, meaning that deeper and faster cuts are now required. However, behind the grim headlines, a more differentiated message emerges from the ten-year summary. A number of encouraging developments have taken place and the political focus on the climate crisis is growing in several countries, with voters and protestors, particularly youth, making it clear that it is their number one issue. In addition, the technologies for rapid and cost-effective emission reductions have improved significantly.

As in previous years, this report explores some of the most promising and applicable options available for countries to bridge the gap, with a focus on how to create transformational change and just transitions. Reflecting on the report's overall conclusions, it is evident that incremental changes will not be enough and there is a need for rapid and transformational action.

The political context in 2019 has been dominated by the United Nations Secretary-General's Global Climate Action Summit, which was held in September and brought together governments, the private sector, civil society, local authorities and international organizations.

The aim of the Summit was to stimulate action and in particular to secure countries' commitment to enhance their nationally determined contributions (NDCs) by 2020 and aim for net zero emissions by 2050.

According to the press release at the end of the Summit, around 70 countries announced their intention to submit

enhanced NDCs in 2020, with 65 countries and major subnational economies committing to work towards achieving net zero emissions by 2050. In addition, several private companies, finance institutions and major cities announced concrete steps to reduce emissions and shift investments into low-carbon technologies. A key aim of the Summit was to secure commitment from countries to enhance their NDCs, which was met to some extent, but largely by smaller economies. With most of the G20 members visibly absent, the likely impact on the emissions gap will be limited.

As regards the scientific perspective, the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) issued two special reports in 2019: the Climate Change and Land report on climate change, desertification, land degradation, sustainable land management, food security and greenhouse gas fluxes in terrestrial ecosystems, and the Ocean and Cryosphere in a Changing Climate report. Both reports voice strong concerns about observed and predicted changes resulting from climate change and provide an even stronger scientific foundation that supports the importance of the temperature goals of the Paris Agreement and the need to ensure emissions are on track to achieve these goals.

This Emissions Gap Report has been prepared by an international team of leading scientists, assessing all available information, including that published in the context of the IPCC special reports, as well as in other recent scientific studies. The assessment production process has been transparent and participatory. The assessment methodology and preliminary findings were made available to the governments of the countries specifically mentioned in the report to provide them with the opportunity to comment on the findings.

### GHG emissions continue to rise, despite scientific warnings and political commitments.

- GHG emissions have risen at a rate of 1.5 per cent per year in the last decade, stabilizing only briefly between 2014 and 2016. Total GHG emissions, including from land-use change, reached a record high of 55.3 GtCO₂e in 2018.
- Fossil CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from energy use and industry, which dominate total GHG emissions, grew 2.0 per cent in 2018, reaching a record 37.5 GtCO<sub>2</sub> per year.

- There is no sign of GHG emissions peaking in the next few years; every year of postponed peaking means that deeper and faster cuts will be required. By 2030, emissions would need to be 25 per cent and 55 per cent lower than in 2018 to put the world on the least-cost pathway to limiting global warming to below 2°C and 1.5°C respectively.
- Figure ES.1 shows a decomposition of the average annual growth rates of economic activity (gross domestic product – GDP), primary energy use, energy use per unit of GDP, CO<sub>2</sub> emissions per unit of energy and GHG emissions from all sources for Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) and non-OECD members.
- Economic growth has been much stronger in non-OECD members, growing at over 4.5 per cent per year in the last decade compared with 2 per cent per year in OECD members. Since OECD and non-OECD members have had similar declines in the amount of energy used per unit of economic activity, stronger economic growth means that that primary energy use has increased much faster in non-OECD members (2.8 per cent per year) than in OECD members (0.3 per cent per year).
- OECD members already use less energy per unit of economic activity, which suggests that non-OECD members have the potential to accelerate improvements even as they grow, industrialize

and urbanize their economies in order to meet development objectives.

- While the global data provide valuable insight for understanding the continued growth in emissions, it is necessary to examine the trends of major emitters to gain a clearer picture of the underlying trends (figure ES.2). Country rankings change dramatically when comparing total and per capita emissions: for example, it is evident that China now has per capita emissions in the same range as the European Union (EU) and is almost at a similar level to Japan.
- Consumption-based emission estimates, also known as a carbon footprint, that adjust the standard territorial emissions for imports and exports, provide policymakers with a deeper insight into the role of consumption, trade and the interconnectedness of countries. Figure ES.3 shows that the net flow of embodied carbon is from developing to developed countries, even as developed countries reduce their territorial emissions this effect is being partially offset by importing embodied carbon, implying for example that EU per capita emissions are higher than Chinese when consumption-based emissions are included. It should be noted that consumptionbased emissions are not used within the context of the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC).



**Figure ES.1.** Average annual growth rates of key drivers of global CO<sub>2</sub> emissions (left of dotted line) and components of greenhouse gas emissions (right of dotted line) for OECD and non-OECD members

**Figure ES.2.** Top greenhouse gas emitters, excluding land-use change emissions due to lack of reliable country-level data, on an absolute basis (left) and per capita basis (right)



- 2. G20 members account for 78 per cent of global GHG emissions. Collectively, they are on track to meet their limited 2020 Cancun Pledges, but seven countries are currently not on track to meet 2030 NDC commitments, and for a further three, it is not possible to say.
- As G20 members account for around 78 per cent of global GHG emissions (including land use), they largely determine global emission trends and the extent to which the 2030 emissions gap will be closed. This report therefore pays close attention to G20 members.
- G20 members with 2020 Cancun Pledges are collectively projected to overachieve these by about 1 GtCO<sub>2</sub>e per year. However, several individual G20 members (Canada, Indonesia, Mexico, the Republic of Korea, South Africa, the United States of America) are currently projected to miss their Cancun Pledges or will not achieve them with great certainty. Argentina, Saudi Arabia and Turkey have not made 2020 pledges and pledges from several countries that meet their targets are rather unambitious.
- Australia is carrying forward their overachievement from the Kyoto period to meet their 2020 Cancun

Pledge and counts cumulative emissions between 2013 and 2020. With this method, the Australian Government projects that the country will overachieve its 2020 pledge. However, if this 'carryforward' approach is not taken, Australia will not achieve its 2020 pledge.

- On the progress of G20 economies towards their NDC targets, six members (China, the EU28, India, Mexico, Russia and Turkey) are projected to meet their unconditional NDC targets with current policies. Among them, three countries (India, Russia and Turkey) are projected to be more than 15 per cent lower than their NDC target emission levels. These results suggest that the three countries have room to raise their NDC ambition significantly. The EU28 has introduced climate legislation that achieves at least a 40 per cent reduction in GHG emissions, which the European Commission projects could be overachieved if domestic legislation is fully implemented in member states.
- In contrast, seven G20 members require further action of varying degree to achieve their NDC: Australia, Brazil, Canada, Japan, the Republic of Korea, South Africa and the United States of



**Figure ES.3.** CO<sub>2</sub> emissions allocated to the point of emissions (territorial) and the point of consumption, for absolute emissions (left) and per capita (right)

America. For Brazil, the emissions projections from three annually updated publications were all revised upward, reflecting the recent trend towards increased deforestation, among others. In Japan, however, current policy projections have been close to achieving its NDC target for the last few years.

- Studies do not agree on whether Argentina, Indonesia and Saudi Arabia are on track to meet their unconditional NDCs. For Argentina, recent domestic analysis that reflects the most recent GHG inventory data up to 2016 projects that the country will achieve its unconditional NDC target, while two international studies project that it will fall short of its target. For Indonesia, this is mainly due to uncertainty concerning the country's land use, land-use change and forestry (LULUCF) emissions. For Saudi Arabia, the limited amount of information on the country's climate policies has not allowed for further assessments beyond the two studies reviewed.
- Some G20 members are continuously strengthening their mitigation policy packages, leading to a downward revision of current policy scenario projections for total emissions over time. One example is the EU, where a noticeable

downward shift has been observed in current policy scenario projections for 2030 since the 2015 edition of the Emissions Gap Report.

#### Although the number of countries announcing net zero GHG emission targets for 2050 is increasing, only a few countries have so far formally submitted long-term strategies to the UNFCCC

- An increasing number of countries have set net zero emission targets domestically and 65 countries and major subnational economies, such as the region of California and major cities worldwide, have committed to net zero emissions by 2050. However, only a few long-term strategies submitted to the UNFCCC have so far committed to a timeline for net zero emissions, none of which are from a G20 member.
- Five G20 members (the EU and four individual members) have committed to long-term zero emission targets, of which three are currently in the process of passing legislation and two have recently passed legislation. The remaining 15 G20 members have not yet committed to zero emission targets.

**Table ES.1.** Global total GHG emissions by 2030 under different scenarios (median and 10<sup>th</sup> to 90<sup>th</sup> percentile range), temperature implications and the resulting emissions gap

	Number of scenarios in set	Number Global of total scenarios emissions in set in 2030 [GtCO2e]	Estimated temperature outcomes			Closest corresponding IPCC SR1.5 scenario class	Emissions Gap in 2030 [GtCO2e]		
Scenario (rounded to the nearest gigaton)			50% probability	66% probability	90% probability		Below 2.0°C	Below 1.8°C	Below 1.5°C in 2100
2005-policies	6	<b>64</b> (60-68)							
Current policy	8	<b>60</b> (58-64)					<b>18</b> (17–23)	<b>24</b> (23-29)	<b>35</b> (34–39)
Unconditional NDCs	11	<b>56</b> (54–60)					<b>15</b> (12–18)	<b>21</b> (18–24)	<b>32</b> (29-35)
Conditional NDCs	12	<b>54</b> (51–56)					<b>12</b> (9–14)	<b>18</b> (15–21)	<b>29</b> (26-31)
Below 2.0°C (66% probability)	29	<b>41</b> (39–46)	Peak: 1.7-1.8°C In 2100: 1.6-1.7°C	Peak: 1.9-2.0°C In 2100: 1.8-1.9°C	Peak: 2.4-2.6°C In 2100: 2.3-2.5°C	Higher-2°C pathways			
Below 1.8°C (66% probability)	43	<b>35</b> (31–41)	Peak: 1.6-1.7°C In 2100: 1.3-1.6°C	Peak: 1.7-1.8°C In 2100: 1.5-1.7°C	Peak: 2.1-2.3°C In 2100: 1.9-2.2°C	Lower-2°C pathways			
Below 1.5°C in 2100 and peak below 1.7°C (both with 66% probability)	13	<b>25</b> (22-31)	Peak: 1.5-1.6°C In 2100: 1.2-1-3°C	Peak: 1.6-1.7°C In 2100: 1.4-1.5°C	Peak: 2.0-2.1°C In 2100: 1.8-1.9°C	1.5°C with no or limited overshoot			

# **4**. The emissions gap is large. In 2030, annual emissions need to be 15 GtCO<sub>2</sub>e lower than current unconditional NDCs imply for the 2°C goal, and 32 GtCO<sub>2</sub>e lower for the 1.5°C goal.

- Estimates of where GHG emissions should be in 2030 in order to be consistent with a least-cost pathway towards limiting global warming to the specific temperature goals have been calculated from the scenarios that were compiled as part of the mitigation pathway assessment of the IPCC Special Report on Global Warming of 1.5°C report. scenarios that were compiled as part of the mitigation pathway assessment of the IPCC Special Report on Global Warming of 1.5°C report.
- This report presents an assessment of global emissions pathways relative to those consistent with limiting warming to 2°C, 1.8°C and 1.5°C, in order to provide a clear picture of the pathways that will keep warming in the range of 2°C to 1.5°C. The report also includes an overview of the peak and 2100 temperature outcomes associated with different likelihoods. The inclusion of the 1.8°C level allows for a more nuanced interpretation and discussion of the implication of the Paris Agreement's temperature targets for near-term emissions.
- The NDC scenarios of this year's report are based on updated data from the same sources used for the current policies scenario and is provided by 12 modelling groups. Projected NDC levels for some



Figure ES.4. Global GHG emissions under different scenarios and the emissions gap by 2030

countries, in particular China and India, depend on recent emission trends or GDP growth projections that are easily outdated in older studies. Thus, studies that were published in 2015, before the adoption of the Paris Agreement, have been excluded in this year's update. Excluding such studies has had little impact on the projected global emission levels of the NDC scenarios, which are very similar to those presented in the 2018 Emissions Gap Report.

- With only current policies, GHG emissions are estimated to be 60 GtCO<sub>2</sub>e in 2030. On a least-cost pathway towards the Paris Agreement goals in 2030, median estimates are 41 GtCO<sub>2</sub>e for 2°C, 35 GtCO<sub>2</sub>e for 1.8°C, and 25 GtCO<sub>2</sub>e for 1.5°C.
- If unconditional and conditional NDCs are fully implemented, global emissions are estimated to reduce by around 4 GtCO<sub>2</sub>e and 6 GtCO<sub>2</sub>e respectively by 2030, compared with the current policy scenario.
- The emissions gap between estimated total global emissions by 2030 under the NDC scenarios and under pathways limiting warming to below 2°C and 1.5°C is large (see Figure ES.4). Full implementation of the unconditional NDCs is estimated to result in a gap of 15 GtCO<sub>2</sub>e (range: 12–18 GtCO<sub>2</sub>e) by 2030, compared with the 2°C scenario. The emissions gap between implementing the unconditional NDCs and the 1.5°C pathway is about 32 GtCO<sub>2</sub>e (range: 29–35 GtCO<sub>2</sub>e).

- The full implementation of both unconditional and conditional NDCs would reduce this gap by around 2-3 GtCO<sub>2</sub>e.
- If current unconditional NDCs are fully implemented, there is a 66 per cent chance that warming will be limited to 3.2°C by the end of the century. If conditional NDCs are also effectively implemented, warming will likely reduce by about 0.2°C.

#### Dramatic strengthening of the NDCs is needed in 2020. Countries must increase their NDC ambitions threefold to achieve the well below 2°C goal and more than fivefold to achieve the 1.5°C goal.

- ► The ratchet mechanism of the Paris Agreement foresees strengthening of NDCs every five years. Parties to the Paris Agreement identified 2020 as a critical next step in this process, inviting countries to communicate or update their NDCs by this time. Given the time lag between policy decisions and associated emission reductions, waiting until 2025 to strengthen NDCs will be too late to close the large 2030 emissions gap.
- The challenge is clear. The recent IPCC special reports clearly describe the dire consequences of inaction and are backed by record temperatures worldwide along with enhanced extreme events.
- Had serious climate action begun in 2010, the cuts required per year to meet the projected emissions levels for 2°C and 1.5°C would only have been 0.7 per cent and 3.3 per cent per year on average. However, since this did not happen, the required cuts in emissions are now 2.7 per cent per year from 2020 for the 2°C goal and about 7.6 per cent per year on average for the 1.5°C goal. Evidently, greater cuts will be required the longer that action is delayed.
- ► Further delaying the reductions needed to meet the goals would imply future emission reductions and removal of CO<sub>2</sub> from the atmosphere at such a magnitude that it would result in a serious deviation from current available pathways. This, together with necessary adaptation actions, risks seriously damaging the global economy and undermining food security and biodiversity.

### **6.** Enhanced action by G20 members will be essential for the global mitigation effort.

This report has a particular focus on the G20 members, reflecting on their importance for global mitigation efforts. Chapter 4 in particular focuses on progress and opportunities for enhancing mitigation ambition of seven selected G20 members – Argentina, Brazil, China, the EU, India, Japan and the United States of America – which represented around 56 per cent of global GHG emissions in 2017. The chapter, which was pre-released for the Climate Action Summit, presents a detailed assessment of action or inaction in key sectors, demonstrating that even though there are a few frontrunners, the general picture is rather bleak.

- In 2009, the G20 members adopted a decision to gradually phase out fossil-fuel subsidies, though no country has committed to fully phasing these out by a specific year as yet.
- Although many countries, including most G20 members, have committed to net zero deforestation targets in the last few decades, these commitments are often not supported by action on the ground.

Based on the assessment of mitigation potential in the seven previously mentioned countries, a number of areas have been identified for urgent and impactful action (see table ES.2). The purpose of the recommendations is to show potential, stimulate engagement and facilitate political discussion of what is required to implement the necessary action. Each country will be responsible for designing their own policies and actions.

#### 7. Decarbonizing the global economy will require fundamental structural changes, which should be designed to bring multiple co-benefits for humanity and planetary support systems.

- If the multiple co-benefits associated with closing the emissions gap are fully realized, the required transition will contribute in an essential way to achieving the United Nations 2030 Agenda with its 17 Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs).
- Climate protection and adaptation investments will become a precondition for peace and stability, and will require unprecedented efforts to transform societies, economies, infrastructures and governance institutions. At the same time, deep and rapid decarbonization processes imply fundamental structural changes are needed within economic sectors, firms, labour markets and trade patterns.
- By necessity, this will see profound change in how energy, food and other material-intensive services are demanded and provided by governments, businesses and markets. These systems of provision are entwined with the preferences, actions and demands of people as consumers, citizens and communities. Deep-rooted shifts in values, norms, consumer culture and world views are inescapably part of the great sustainability transformation.

 Table ES.2.
 Selected current opportunities to enhance ambition in seven G20 members in line with ambitious climate

 actions and targets
 Image: Selected current opportunities to enhance ambition in seven G20 members in line with ambitious climate

#### Argentina

- Refrain from extracting new, alternative fossil-fuel resources
- Reallocate fossil-fuel subsidies to support distributed renewable electricity-generation
- Shift towards widespread use of public transport in large metropolitan areas
- Redirect subsidies granted to companies for the extraction of alternative fossil fuels to building-sector measures

#### Brazil

- Commit to the full decarbonization of the energy supply by 2050
- Develop a national strategy for ambitious electric vehicle (EV) uptake aimed at complementing biofuels and at 100per cent CO<sub>2</sub>-free new vehicles
- Promote the 'urban agenda' by increasing the use of public transport and other low-carbon alternatives

#### China

- Ban all new coal-fired power plants
- Continue governmental support for renewables, taking into account cost reductions, and accelerate development of nuclear power towards a 100 per cent carbon-free electricity system
- Further support the shift towards public modes of transport
- Support the uptake of electric mobility, aiming for 100 per cent CO<sub>2</sub>-free new vehicles
- Promote near-zero emission building development and integrate it into Government planning

#### **European Union**

- Adopt an EU regulation to refrain from investment in fossil-fuel infrastructure, including new natural gas pipelines
- Define a clear endpoint for the EU emissions trading system (ETS) in the form of a cap that must lead to zero emissions
- Adjust the framework and policies to enable 100 per cent carbon-free electricity supply by between 2040 and 2050
- Step up efforts to phase out coal-fired plants
- Define a strategy for zero-emission industrial processes
- Reform the EU ETS to more effectively reduce emissions in industrial applications
- Ban the sale of internal combustion engine cars and buses and/or set targets to move towards 100 per cent of new car and bus sales being zero-carbon vehicles in the coming decades
- Shift towards increased use of public transport in line with the most ambitious Member States
- Increase the renovation rate for intensive retrofits of existing buildings

#### India

- Plan the transition from coal-fired power plants
- Develop an economy-wide green industrialization strategy towards zero-emission technologies
- Expand mass public transit systems
- Develop domestic electric vehicle targets working towards 100 per cent new sales of zero-emission cars

#### Japan

- Develop a strategic energy plan that includes halting the construction of new freely emitting coal-fired power plants, as well as a phase-out schedule of existing plants and a 100 per cent carbon-free electricity supply
- Increase the current level of carbon pricing with high priority given to the energy and building sector
- Develop a plan to phase out the use of fossil fuels through promoting passenger cars that use electricity from renewable energy
- Implement a road map as part of efforts towards net-zero energy buildings and net-zero energy houses

#### USA

- Introduce regulations on power plants, clean energy standards and carbon pricing to achieve an electricity supply that is 100 per cent carbon-free
- Implement carbon pricing on industrial emissions
- Strengthen vehicle and fuel economy standards to be in line with zero emissions for new cars in 2030
- Implement clean building standards so that all new buildings are 100 per cent electrified by 2030

- Legitimacy for decarbonization therefore requires massive social mobilization and investments in social cohesion to avoid exclusion and resistance to change. Just and timely transitions towards sustainability need to be developed, taking into account the interests and rights of people vulnerable to the impacts of climate change, of people and regions where decarbonization requires structural adjustments, and of future generations.
- Fortunately, deep transformation to close the emissions gap between trends based on current policies and achieving the Paris Agreement can be designed to bring multiple co-benefits for humanity and planetary support systems. These range, for example, from reducing air pollution, improving human health, establishing sustainable energy systems and industrial production processes, making consumption and services more efficient and sufficient, employing less-intensive agricultural practices and mitigating biodiversity loss to liveable cities.
- This year's report explores six entry points for progressing towards closing the emissions gap through transformational change in the following areas: (a) air pollution, air quality, health; (b) urbanization; (c) governance, education, employment; (d) digitalization; (e) energy- and material-efficient services for raising living standards; and (f) land use, food security, bioenergy. Building on this overview, a more detailed discussion of transitions in the energy sector is presented in chapter 6.

#### Renewables and energy efficiency, in combination with electrification of end uses, are key to a successful energy transition and to driving down energy-related CO<sub>2</sub> emissions.

- The necessary transition of the global energy sector will require significant investments compared with a business-as-usual scenario. Climate policies that are consistent with the 1.5°C goal will require upscaling energy system supply-side investments to between US\$1.6 trillion and US\$3.8 trillion per year globally on average over the 2020–2050 time frame, depending on how rapid energy efficiency and conservation efforts can be ramped up.
- Given the important role that energy and especially the electricity sector will have to play in any low-carbon transformation, chapter 6 examines five transition options, taking into account their relevance for a wide range of countries, clear co-benefit opportunities and potential to deliver significant emissions reductions. Each of the following transitions correspond to a particular policy rationale or motivation, which is discussed in more detail in the chapter:
  - Expanding Renewable Energy for electrification.

- Phasing out coal for rapid decarbonization of the energy system.
- Decarbonizing transport with a focus on electric mobility.
- Decarbonizing energy-intensive industry.

- Avoiding future emissions while improving energy access.
- Implementing such major transitions in a number of areas will require increased interdependency between energy and other infrastructure sectors, where changes in one sector can impact another. Similarly, there will be a strong need to connect demand and supply-side policies and include wider synergies and co-benefits, such as job losses and creation, rehabilitation of ecosystem services, avoidance of resettlements and reduced health and environmental costs as a result of reduced emissions. The same applies for decarbonizing transport, where there will be a need for complementarity and coordination of policies, driven by technological, environmental and land-use pressures. Policies will need to be harmonized wherever possible to take advantage of interdependencies and prevent undesirable outcomes such as CO<sub>2</sub> leakage from one sector to another
  - Any transition at this scale is likely to be extremely challenging and will meet a number of economic, political and technical barriers and challenges. However, many drivers of climate action have changed in the last years, with several options for ambitious climate action becoming less costly, more numerous and better understood. First, technological and economic developments present opportunities to decarbonize the economy, especially the energy sector, at a cost that is lower than ever. Second, the synergies between climate action and economic growth and development objectives, including options for addressing distributional impacts, are better understood. Finally, policy momentum across various levels of government, as well as a surge in climate action commitments by non-state actors, are creating opportunities for countries to engage in real transitions.
- A key example of technological and economic trends is the cost of renewable energy, which is declining more rapidly than was predicted just a few years ago (see figure ES.5). Renewables are currently the cheapest source of new power generation in most of the world, with the global weighted average purchase or auction price for new utility-scale solar power photovoltaic systems and utility-scale onshore wind turbines projected to compete with the marginal operating cost of existing coal plants by

A short summary of the main aspects of each transition is presented in table ES.3. **Table ES.3.** Summary of five energy transition options

Option	Major components	Instruments	Co-benefits	Annual GHG emissions reduction potential of renewables, electrification, energy efficiency and other measures by 2050
Renewable energy electricity expansion	<ul> <li>Plan for large shares of variable renewable energy</li> <li>Electricity becomes the main energy source by 2050, supplying at least 50 per cent of total final energy consumption (TFEC)</li> <li>Share of renewable energy in electricity up to 85 per cent by 2050</li> <li>Transition</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Flexibility measures to take on larger shares of variable renewable energy</li> <li>Support for deployment of distributed energy</li> <li>Innovative measures: cost reflective tariff structures, targeted subsidies, reverse auctions, net metering</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Greater efficiency in end-use energy demand</li> <li>Health benefits</li> <li>Energy access and security</li> <li>Employment</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Power sector: 8.1 GtCO<sub>2</sub></li> <li>Building sector (heat and energy): 2.1 GtCO<sub>2</sub></li> <li>District heat and others: 1.9 GtCO<sub>2</sub></li> </ul>
Coal phase- out	<ul> <li>Plan and implement phase-out of coal</li> <li>Coal to renewable energy transition</li> <li>Expand carbon capture usage and storage systems</li> <li>Improve system-wide efficiency</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Regional support programmes</li> <li>Tax breaks, subsidies</li> <li>Carbon pricing</li> <li>Moratorium policies</li> <li>De-risking of clean energy investments</li> <li>Relocation of coal workers (mines and power plants)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Lower health hazards (air, water, land pollution)</li> <li>Future skills and job creation</li> </ul>	Share of the power emissions reduction from a coal phase- out: 4 GtCO <sub>2</sub> (range: 3.6-4.4 GtCO <sub>2</sub> ), with 1 GtCO <sub>2</sub> from the OECD and 3 GtCO <sub>2</sub> from the rest of the world
Decarbonize transport	<ul> <li>Reduce energy for transport</li> <li>Electrify transport</li> <li>Fuels substitution (bioenergy, hydrogen)</li> <li>Modal shift</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Pathways for non- motorized transport</li> <li>Standards for vehicle emissions</li> <li>Establishing of charging stations</li> <li>Eliminating of fossil-fuel subsidies</li> <li>Investments in public transport</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Increased public health from more physical activity, less air pollution</li> <li>Energy security</li> <li>Reduced fuel spending</li> <li>Less congestion</li> </ul>	Electrification of transport: 6.1 GtCO <sub>2</sub>
Decarbonize industry	<ul> <li>Demand reduction (circular economy, modal shifts and logistics)</li> <li>Electrify heat processes</li> <li>Improve energy efficiency</li> <li>Direct use of biomass/ biofuels</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Carbon pricing</li> <li>Standards and regulations, especially on materials demand reduction</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Energy security</li> <li>Savings and competitiveness</li> </ul>	• Industry: 4.8 GtCO₂
Avoid future emissions and energy access	• Link energy access with emission reductions for 3.5 billion energy-poor people	<ul> <li>Fit and auctions</li> <li>Standards and regulations</li> <li>Targeted subsidies</li> <li>Support for entrepreneurs</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Better access</li> <li>Meet basic needs and SDGs</li> </ul>	• N/A



Figure ES.5. Changes in global levelized cost of energy for key renewable energy technologies, 2010-2018

2020. These trends are increasingly manifesting in a decline in new coal plant construction, including the cancellation of planned plants, as well as the early retirement of existing plants. Moreover, real-life cost declines are outpacing projections.

#### **9.** Demand-side material efficiency offers substantial GHG mitigation opportunities that are complementary to those obtained through an energy system transformation.

- While demand-side material efficiency widens the spectrum of emission mitigation strategies, it has largely been overlooked in climate policymaking until now and will be important for the cross-sectoral transitions.
- ► In 2015, the production of materials caused GHG emissions of approximately 11.5 GtCO<sub>2</sub>e, up from 5 GtCO<sub>2</sub>e in 1995. The largest contribution stems from bulk materials production, such as iron and steel, cement, lime and plaster, other minerals mostly used as construction products, as well as plastics and rubber. Two thirds of the materials are used to make capital goods, with buildings and vehicles among the most important. While the production of materials consumed in industrialized countries remained within the range of 2–3 GtCO<sub>2</sub>e, in the 1995–2015 period, those of developing and emerging economies have largely been behind the growth. In this context, it is important to keep in mind the discussion about the

point of production and points of consumption (see figure ES.6).

- Material efficiency and substitution strategies affect not only energy demand and emissions during material production, but also potentially the operational energy use of the material products. Analysis of such strategies therefore requires a systems or life cycle perspective. Several investigations of material efficiency have focused on strategies that have little impact on operations, meaning that trade-offs and synergies have been ignored. Many energy efficiency strategies have implications for the materials used, such as increased insulation demand for buildings or a shift to more energy-intensive materials in the lightweighting of vehicles. While these additional, material-related emissions are well understood from technology studies, they are often not fully captured in the integrated assessment models that produce scenario results, such as those discussed in this report.
- In chapter 7, the mitigation potential from demand-side material efficiency improvements is discussed in the context of the following categories of action:
  - Product lightweighting and substitution of highcarbon materials with low-carbon materials to reduce material-related GHG emissions associated with product production, as well as operational energy consumption of vehicles.

**Figure ES.6.** GHG emissions in GtCO<sub>2</sub>e associated with materials production by material (left) and by the first use of materials in subsequent production processes or final consumption (right)



- Improvements in the yield of material production and product manufacture.
- More intensive use, longer life, component reuse, remanufacturing and repair as strategies to obtain more service from material-based products.
- Enhanced recycling so that secondary materials reduce the need to produce more emission-intensive primary materials.
- These categories are elaborated for housing and cars, showing that increased material efficiency can reduce annual emissions from the construction and operations of buildings and the manufacturing and use of passenger vehicles, thus contributing a couple of gigatons of carbon dioxide equivalent in emission reductions to the global mitigation effort by 2030.

