W751254170

wikijuticejulianassange@gmail.com



Paris, le 9 janvier 2020

Westminster Court Her Honour judge Vanessa Baraister 181 Marylebone Road London NW1 5BR

Prisoner of opinion: **Julian Assange** (03/07/1971)

• Prison: **Belmarsh**

Prisoner Number: A 93 79 AY

Subject: Request for information on mandate

representation in the case EAW 131226-10

European Arrest Warrant File No.

AM 131226-10:

File No. RCJ CO/1925/2011

Release Request political prisoner Julian Paul Assange

Dear Sir or Madam,

The WJJA human rights association kindly asks for the immediate release of the political prisoner Julian Paul Assange.

Please find enclosed a complete request for release showing that political prisoner Julian Paul Assange is illegally imprisoned and tortured.

The Court of Westminster, the judges in charge of the case, all English judicial institutions and all members of the English government have received a request for the immediate release of political prisoner Julian Assange, first in November 2019, second in December 2019.

This is the third request for the release of political prisoner Julian Paul Assange that we are sending to the Westminster Court, to the judges in charge of the case and to the English government.

To date, our requests were not followed by any response. Acknowledgements of receipt of letters sent by registered mail have never been returned to us.

W751254170

wikijuticejulianassange@gmail.com



All request were also addressed to all English, Swedish, icelandic and European parliamentarians, to all English, Scottish and Irish judicial institutions, to all permanent delegations to the United Nations, to OHCHR, to all international associations fighting against torture, to many English and international media. They are in open source on all our sites.

This new request for the release of political prisoner Julian Paul Assange will be sent broadly and put in open source on all our sites.

In addition, a group of personalities from cultural domains (Jacques Audiard, Josiane Balasko, Emir Kusturiza, etc.) and many citizens join us in calling for the immediate release of the political prisoner Julian Paul Assange. (*Petition of artists and personalities from the world of culture for the immediate release of political prisoner Julian Paul Assange:* http://chnq.it/nGB5QZDxRX)

Mexico's President, Andres Manuel Lopez Obrador, called for Wikileaks founder Julian Assange to be released from prison in London, urging an end to what he described as his torture in detention (https://www.reuters.com/article/us-mexico-president-assange/mexico-president-calls-for-julian-assange-to-be-released-from-uk-prison-idUSKBN1Z21S8). An application for political asylum is pending in Belgium.

Please find attached the legally argued request for the immediate release of prisoner Julian Paul Assange, where it is demonstrated that:

- ➤ Under the law, Julian Assange is a political prisoner, and as such cannot be extradited and must be immediately released (See law texts in Release request).
- ➤ In the terms of the Law, the United Kingdom violates Julian Assange's right to defend himself, his presumption of innocence and habeas corpus, by not allowing him to physically appear at the hearings, to see his lawyers and to meet with the human rights associations working for his release. (See law texts in Release request)
- According to the reports produced and the various testimonies, his imprisonment is tantamount to illegal incommunicado detention, that he is being tortured and that he could die in prison. If Mr Assange dies in prison, the British government, which keeps prisoner Julian Paul Assange in solitary confinement and does nothing to stop the torture he is suffering, will bear full responsibility for his death. An autopsy will have to be carried out by a team of international forensic doctors (from various countries, as far as

W751254170

wikijuticejulianassange@gmail.com



possible independent relatively to Assange case) to determine the exact causes of the death of political prisoner Julian Paul Assange and an investigation would have to be carried out to determine the degree of responsibility of each individual. (See law texts in Release request and Medical report)

The WJJA would like to add a new document to the file requesting the release of prisoner Julian Paul Assange. It is a medical report drawn up by a doctor from the WJJA medical teams which confirms the report of Mr. Nils Melzer, UN rapporteur on Torture.

The English judiciary and the director of Belmash prison do not allow any of the WJJA teams to meet with the political prisoner Julian Paul Assange. Since our doctor in charge of the Assange case, despite his insistence and repeated requests for appointments, was unable to obtain an appointment with him at Belmarsh prison, he went to the courtroom to carry out a medical examination based on a careful observation of Julian Paul Assange via the video. The conclusions of this report confirm those of the UN rapporteur on torture, Mr. Nils Melzer.

"Mr Julian ASSANGE is therefore, in view of the above elements, subjected to torture as Mr Nils MELZER has stated on several occasions (31 May and 1-14 and 27 November 2019).

The expert reports and the various observations reported highlight his deteriorated state of health, requiring immediate, safe and appropriate care.

Medical experts need to be able to meet with him to thoroughly assess his health and depending on the medical assessment, he must receive appropriate care, if necessary hospitalized or discharged." (See full report in attachment)

Consequently, we ask the English justice system to immediately release the political prisoner Julian Paul Assange in order to entrust him to the care of our teams of doctors who have already done everything possible to welcome and treat him in France.

Moreover, in view of the state of health of Mr. Julian Paul Assange, suffering endured, torture and their serious consequences, we ask the United Kingdom to put an end to the extradition procedure by applying the Article 25 of the Extradition Act 2003:

This section applies if at any time in the extradition hearing it appears to the judge that the condition in subsection (2) is satisfied.

W751254170

wikijuticejulianassange@gmail.com



- (2) The condition is that the physical or mental condition of the person in respect of whom the Part 1 warrant is issued is such that it would be unjust or oppressive to extradite him.
- (3) The judge must—
- (a) order the person's discharge, or
- (b) adjourn the extradition hearing until it appears to him that the condition in subsection (2) is no longer satisfied.

We remind the English justice system that the WJJA has vouched for Mr. Julian Paul Assange.

We remind the English justice system that Mr. Julian Paul Assange has an address in France (*Julian Assange ## Lieu-dit Kerdolio - 56550 Locoal Mendon*).

We would like to point out to the English justice system that Mr Julian Paul Assange has an address in England (*Julian Assange ## Hurrel road. CB4 3RL. Cambridge UK*) and that one of our delegates can act as guarantor for him.

For security reasons, the surnames, first names and contact details of our delegates who will act as guarantors for Mr Julian Paul Assange in England or France are not mentioned in the documents (they are all open source) but they can be provided to the English judiciary on request. For the same reasons, street numbers are not mentioned on the documents. The complete addresses of Mr. Julian Paul Assange will only be provided to the court.

As stated in our previous requests, Mr. Julian Assange, insofar as his health permits, will be place him in a benevolent environment where he will have complete freedom of movement with free access to the sea and the countryside. Hospitalisation will be consideredd only as a last resort. Freedom being the first of the care to be given to him. Our doctor will take care of the health of Mr. Julian Paul Assange.

Futhermore, we would also like to draw attention of the court to new several points of serious judicial dysfunctions:

Case 1902473293 - EIO/026/19 - British Home Office Executor

It is worth recalling that the hearing of December 23rd concerning the illegal videos shot in the apartment at 3 Hans Crescent Street was held behind closed doors in a room of the Westminster Court.

It is worth recalling that the hearing on 23 December, presumably concerning the illegal videos filmed in the flat at 3 Hans Crescent Street, was held in camera in room 4 of the

W751254170

wikijuticejulianassange@gmail.com



Westminster Court at 2.30 p.m., whereas it was scheduled to take place in room 10 at 10 a.m. (Case number 2). The hearing was rescheduled without an announcement by the court.

At 11.00 a.m., Mr Fidel Narvaez, Mrs Stella Morris and a young boy who introduced himself to our delegates as an intern of the lawyer Mrs Gareth Peirce, met in the consultation room opposite Room 4, while the hearing was supposed to have started at 10.00 a.m. in Room 10. Indeed, at 11 a.m., no judge, no official Westminster Court employee has announced that it would be rescheduled to 2.30 p.m. in Room 4. Some of our delegates were in Room 10 and can witness these facts.

There were no lawyers in the Consultation Room. So there are several legal questions:

- What were Mr Fidel Narvaez, Mrs Stella Morris and Mrs Gareth Peirce's intern doing in the consultation room?
- What is the exact nature of the hearing held on December 23d at 2.30 p.m.?
- Who is the complainant? Knowing that supposedly the victim is the political prisoner Julian Paul Assange?
- Who is the defendant?
- What is the nature of this case?
- In what way is Mr. Julian Paul Assange involved?
- Why are the proceedings being held in camera?

"In the determination of his civil rights and obligations or of any criminal charge against him, everyone is entitled to a fair and public hearing within a reasonable time by an independent and impartial tribunal established by law. Judgment shall be pronounced publicly but the press and public may be excluded from all or part of the trial in the interest of morals, public order or national security in a democratic society, where the interests of juveniles or the protection of the private life of the parties so require, or to the extent strictly necessary in the opinion of the court in special circumstances where publicity would prejudice the interests of justice."

(https://www.cps.gov.uk/legal-guidance/hearings-private-camera)

1. "In the determination of his civil rights and obligations or of any criminal charge against him, everyone is entitled to a fair and public hearing within a reasonable time by an independent and impartial

SANS LIBERTE D'INFORMER, TOUTE AUTRE FORME DE LIBERTE EST ILLUSOIRE

W751254170

wikijuticejulianassange@gmail.com



tribunal established by law. Judgment shall be pronounced publicly but the press and public may be excluded from all or part of the trial in the interest of morals, public order or national security in a democratic society, where the interests of juveniles or the protection of the private life of the parties so require, or to the extent strictly necessary in the opinion of the court in special circumstances where publicity would prejudice the interests of justice.

- 2. Everyone charged with a criminal offence shall be presumed innocent until proved guilty according to law.
- 3. Everyone charged with a criminal offence shall be presumed innocent until proved guilty according to law:
- (a) to be informed promptly, in a language which he understands and in detail, of the nature and cause of the accusation against him;
- (b) to have adequate time and facilities for the preparation of his defence; (c)to defend himself in person or through legal assistance of his own choosing or, if he has not sufficient means to pay for legal assistance, to be given it free when the interests of justice so require;
- (d) to examine or have examined witnesses against him and to obtain the attendance and examination of witnesses on his behalf under the same conditions as witnesses against him;
- (e) to have the free assistance of an interpreter if he cannot understand or speak the language used in court."

(Rigth to a fair Trial. Article 6.

https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1998/42/schedule/1/part/I/chapter/5)

- Who are Mr. Julian Paul Assange's lawyers in this case?
- To which address are the summons to the hearings addressed to Mr. Julian Paul Assange? Even in prison, a citizen must receive a summons to a hearing and a description of the nature of the hearing.
- Where is the family of Mr. Julian Paul Assange? Have they been notified? Mr. Julian Paul Assange's family was not present at any of the last hearings. Considering the seriousness of his condition, this is strange to say the least. It is therefore

W751254170

wikijuticejulianassange@gmail.com



incumbent on the Westminster Court to ensure that they are informed, in good time, of the hearings and the progress of the proceedings.

The hearing of 23/12/2019, at 2.30 p.m., took place under police surveillance with a ban on any witness entering the room. Every effort was made to ensure that the witnesses could not see anything from the room through the glass. Two security guards stood in front of the door to prevent access and ensured that nothing could be seen through the glass by standing right in front of it.

The witnesses at the scene had time to see Mr. Julian Paul Assange behind the window of the defendants' box. He had a guard beside him. The head of security of the Westminster Court (Private Security Company Mitie) entered the courtroom and went to stand next to Mr. Julian Paul Assange. What was the Head of Security of the Westminster Court doing in a courtroom where a trial is being held in camera? If the proceedings are confidential, they must be confidential for the security officers. This hearing takes place behind closed doors but Mr. Andy Muller Maghun exposes images of the intimacy of prisoner Julian Paul Assange in the public square, makes fun of who may have been filmed in the toilets were explains in detail how and where the cameras (https://media.ccc.de/v/36c3-

<u>technical_aspects_of_</u>the_surveillance_in_and_around_the_ecuadorian_embass y_in_london)

New legal questions arise:

- What was political prisoner Julian Paul Assange doing in the box of the accused when he was subject, in the apartment at 3 Hans Crescent Street, to the violation of his private life, the theft of his defence and medical files?
- Who questioned Mr. Julian Paul Assange? Why did he do it? On whose behalf? In connection with what case? Who pays Mr. Julian Paul Assange's lawyers? Mr. Andy Muller Maghun's Wau Holland Stiftung? The Courage Foundation? Wikileaks? Sunshine Presse Production? His family? It is of the utmost importance that the English justice system informs the citizens, who make donations generously in favour of Mr. Assange's defence, because it is important to know their mission order. This mission order determines who they work for. If they are defending the interests of Wau Holland Stiftung, the Ecuadorian government, Fidel Narvaez or Stella Morris, there is potentially a major conflict of interest. The nature of the interactions between the parts involved must be clarified in the interest of the victim, the prisoner Julian Paul

W751254170

wikijuticejulianassange@gmail.com



Assange. The law is formal. The courts must do everything in their power to preserve the victim.

- What was Mr. Fidel Narvaez doing with another man outside the courtroom door? What is his role in these proceedings? On behalf of which organisation is he involved in the case? What interests does he represent? Who was the other man? Mr. Fidel Narvaez knows him. The witnesses saw them greet each other and sat side by side during the two-hour hearing.
- Where was Mrs. Stella Morris? What is her role in these proceedings? On behalf of which organisation is she involved in the case? What interests does she represent? Why was she in the consultation room at 11:00 a.m., without a lawyer present, with a young man, Garteh Peirce's intern? If this young man is an intern with Garteh Peirce, he must be working with her. Does Mrs Gareth Peirce represent the interests of Mrs Morris. If so, is that compatible with defending the interests of political prisoner Julian Paul Assange?
- All the interveners at the hearing came in through the back door. So there were only magistrates. As it has now been established that political prisoner Julian Paul Assange is tortured, this is a crucial issue. Indeed, even if one of the prisoner Julian Paul Assange's lawyers was present, this hearing is akin to a hearing held incommunicado. English justice is aware that the inquisition is no longer compatible with the rules of international law. It must therefore provide fact-based answers. Moreover, justice must be done open to public view because, justice-related, only the people are sovereign.

Mr Julian Paul Assange is not able to endure two hours of closed-door questioning. The medical report attests to this (See Medical report and Nils Melzer UN Report). With regard to the state of health of Mr Julian Paul Assange, nothing he said during this hearing has any legal value whatsoever. Two persons had some kind of involvement in the December 23 hearing: Mr Fidel Narvaez, who had responsibilities within the Ecuadorian sovereign territory in 3 Hans Crescent Street apartment, where Mr. Julian Paul Assange was sequestered nine years long; and Mrs Stella Morritz, who was often present in the same 3 Hans Crescent Street apartment. As these two people are not neutral in this case, their role in the December 23 hearing need to be clarified.

As no investigation has been able to determine who tortured Mr. Julian Paul Assange during this confinement in the 3 Hans Crescent Street Flat, nor when the torture began, it

W751254170

wikijuticejulianassange@gmail.com



is reasonable to believe that Mr. Julian Assange did not have the opportunity to express himself freely during the hearing.

The hearing on 23/12/2019 is opaque and holds many malfunctions.

Mr. Julian Paul Assange is an illegally imprisoned and tortured political prisoner.

We therefore call on the English judiciary to ensure that, from now on, all hearings concerning him are public and scheduled in the largest courtrooms so that the press and witnesses can attend.

We demand that Julian Paul Assange be physically present at all hearings as required by law.

We ask that all hearings be filmed and broadcast. As long as no investigation has determined who is torturing him, why and where, public opinion must be able to ensure that more laws are not violated.

How was Mr. Andy Muller Maghun, president of Wau Holland Stiftung, able to obtain videos which constitute evidence in a case under investigation?

The use of these images illegally filmed in the apartment of 3 Hans Crescent goes against the secrecy of the ongoing investigation.

A first question arises. How was Mr. Andy Müller Maghun, president of Wau Holland Stiftung, able to obtain videos that are incriminating evidence in a case under investigation in which Mr. Julian Paul Assange is the victim?

Mr. Andy Muller Maghun gave precise explanations on the installation of the cameras in the apartment belonging to Ecuador. How can he know so many details? However, the investigation is under way and the case has not been judged. The fact that Mr. Andy Müller Maghun has a personal opinion on a case that is being judged seriously undermines the objectivity of the proceedings. It is an unprecedented procedural flaw that is extremely prejudicial to Mr. Julian Paul Assange.

We call for carrying out an international investigation, entrusted to neutral countries in this case in order to determine:

- Who ordered the cameras installed in the apartment at 3 Crescent Street where Mr Julian Assange was confined and scrutinized.
- Who recovered the footage when only the law should have taken it.
- ➤ Who signed a contract with Undercover Global to install the cameras and provide surveillance.

W751254170

wikijuticejulianassange@gmail.com



- Who occupies the spanish office. What is the spanish office.
- What are the links between Mr Andy Müller Maghun, Mr Baltazar Garzon, Mrs Peirce and all lawyers, Mr Correa, Mr Moreno, Mr Hrafssonn, Wau Holland Stiftung, the Spanish Office, Sunshine Press Production, Courage Foundation, the Ecuadorian and Colombian diplomatic missions.
- Indeed, Mr. Müller's comments and the fact that the videos are in his possession suggest that he is the complainant in this case. This is strange since the apartment is the property of Ecuador not of Wau Holland Stiftung Foudation and the victim, the only victim, is Mr Julian Paul Assange. Mr Baltasar Garzon and Mr Andy Muller Maghun are together on one of the videos illegally filmed in the embassy. The nature of their professional relationships need to be exposed. There is every reason to believe that Mr Baltasar Garzon may be one of Wau holland Stiftung's lawyers. So who defends the interests of Mr. Julian Paul Assange? Not Wau Holland fundation, since it has specified that do not in the contracts (2011) it drew up in the name of Mr. Julian Paul Assange.
- While Mr. Andy Müller Maghun makes public the images illegally filmed in the apartment at 3 Hans Crescent street with the agreement of Mr. Julian Paul Assange. While Mr. Julian Paul Assange has not given his written consent, there is a breach of privacy. In general, it is important that justice ensures that the use of the image of Mr. Julian Paul Assange is contractually covered, particularly with regard to campaigns for donations, with Mr. Andy Müller Maghun, the Wau Holland Stiftung Foundation, the Sunshine Press Production, the Courage Foundation. Justice must ensure that the money collected for Julian Assange is well spent and placed to be returned to him when he is released.

In view of all the malfunctions listed above, we request the English courts to cancel the hearing. As Mr. Julian Paul Assange has the status of political prisoner, we also request that the proceedings be made public, filmed and broadcasted.

Since November 2019, WJJA has sent numerous investigation reports and plenty of documents to the English judiciary, to all European, English, Icelandic, Australian and Swedish parliamentarians, to the UN, to the OCHCR, to all human rights associations, to numerous international media, to US military personnel and senators.

W751254170

wikijuticejulianassange@gmail.com



WJJA has highlighted the blatant conflicts existing between Mr. Julian Paul Assange interests and his lawyers'. These conflicts of interest are detrimental to the defence of Mr. Julian Paul Assange.

WJJA has recalled that Mr Julian Paul Assange was raised in a sect in Australia, Family Cult, and that he could fall under the influence of its former members.

We have been raising the intellectual property issues related to Mr Julian Assange testimony at the hearing on 21/10/2019.

WJJA pointed out that nothing had been implemented by Mr Julian Paul Assange's partners to ensure that the management of the finances, assets and governance of Sunshine Presse Production, in which Mr Julian Assange is a majority shareholder, was carried out by an independent asset manager. There are explicit and formal links between the Wau Holland Stiftung company, the Courage foundation (Civil Courage), and The Chaos Computer Club. The role of Sunshine Press Production need to be clarified. Mr. Julian Paul Assange is the PDG and majority shareholder of Sunshine Press Production and has signed a project contract with Wau Holland Stiftung concerning a "Project 04 Wikileaks". As Mr. Julian Paul Assange reported that he was stolen his "DNA's children", there is a serious suspicion of intellectual property theft that would have marred his relations with his partners.

We highlighted that there was a strong suspicion of incarceration incommunicado in a dark place of Mr. Julian Paul Assange, himself specifying in the SOS he sent to WJJA that he is in a "very dark place". (See Julian Assanges's SOS)

To date, no investigation has been conducted to determine:

- ➤ What really happened in the apartment at 3 Hans Crescent Street for nearly ten years...
- ➤ Who's torturing political prisoner Julian Paul Assange, since when, where, and why...
- Who in the entourage of Mr Julian Paul Assange could still belong to or be connected to the former members of Family Cult in which he grew up (knowing that Family Cult successors founded new entities such as Sankinitekan Park)
- How and by whom his assets are managed
- Whether his associates and partners have attempted to harm him or may attempt to do so

W751254170

wikijuticejulianassange@gmail.com



Consequently, and in view of the aforementioned facts, we ask the English Courts to place Mr. Julian Paul Assange under the protection of the human rights association WikiJustice Julian Assange, WJJA, and to grant him safe conduct so that he can reach France (other asylum process are on-going, in Switzerland for example). WJJA would guarantee his safety and his attendance to all hearings when his health allows it, and clarify with him his social and legal situation. Our legal and medical teams are eager to help him.

We hope that political prisoner Julian Paul Assange will be released at the next hearing in accordance with the international human rights texts in force. (See Release Request and Situation report 1). WJJA delegates have been at every hearing since September 2019, and will be at every hearing until the end of the proceedings to pick up Mr. Julian Paul Assange and take him to a safe place as advocated by the UN Ruling of 2016.

Sincerely

On behalf of WikiJustice Julian Assange

Véronique Pidancet Barrière

President