

- IRCE Symposium -
**Energy solidarity, self-reliance, interdependence,
security, segmentation and optimisation in Europe**

May 16, 2019 – Nuclear Roundtable

Armand Laferrère – Senior VP, Government Affairs, Orano

Nuclear is an essential part of the European electricity mix

1

Nuclear is essential for decarbonation

- Nuclear is the single largest power source in Europe (25% of the mix), and accounts for half the CO₂-free power produced in the EU
- The 2018 European long-term strategy states that renewables and nuclear will be the backbone of a decarbonised European electrical system. Nuclear would account for 15% of the total ie slightly less than the current 122 GW installed
- The 2018 MIT study on decarbonisation (<http://energy.mit.edu/research/future-nuclear-energy-carbon-constrained-world/>) concluded that all decarbonisation projects are significantly less costly if they include both nuclear and renewable
- Pretending to decarbonise without nuclear is counterproductive and only leads to dependence on gas and increased CO₂ emissions

2

Nuclear is an industrial asset for Europe

- According to a recent Foratom study (<https://www.foratom.org/press-release/investing-in-low-carbon-nuclear-generates-jobs-and-economic-growth-in-europe/>) nuclear accounts for:
 - **1,1 million jobs**
 - **€9.3 billion in annual investments**
 - **€4.3 Bn in EU GDP.**

“If Europe is serious about decarbonising its economy by 2050, then one quarter of the electricity produced in the EU will need to continue to come from nuclear” – Yves Desbazeille, Foratom

The EU is not yet geared for the future of its nuclear industry

Europe must adapt its regulatory environment to the benefits of nuclear it has itself promoted

- CO2 pricing
- Electricity market rules must account for long-term investment in de-carbonised power generation
- Access to Capital through sustainable finance must not forget nuclear
- A wrong-headed priority: attempts at modifying the EURATOM treaty

Political grandstanding has hampered the creation of an environment favorable to nuclear. Like-minded countries should co-ordinate more closely to improve the current regulation.

Challenges of the nuclear cycle

1 Access to uranium: long-term security of supply is not threatened

- Diversified sourcing in comparatively safe geographic sources
- Local inventories of depleted uranium serve as strategic reserves

2 Uranium transformation: keep European independent capacities

- Europe has the strategic advantage of having its own conversion and enrichment capacities
- A sufficient backlog must be maintained to preserve this strategic asset

3 Back-end solutions: the nuclear industry manages all externalities, but some issues remain

- Dismantling and decommissioning: closer legal regimes between European countries would make the disposal of end-of-life facilities easier (ie liberation threshold)
- Disposal of final waste: no significant cost difference between cycle options, but a need to accelerate implementation in some countries
- France chose the closed cycle model as offering more guarantees for future generations